

## An international survey of current physiotherapy practice in diagnosis and knowledge translation of greater trochanteric pain syndrome (GTPS)

### AUTHOR(S)

Helen French, Alison Grimaldi, Stephanie J Woodley, Louise O'Connor, Angie Fearon

### CITATION

French, Helen; Grimaldi, Alison; Woodley, Stephanie J; O'Connor, Louise; Fearon, Angie (2022): An international survey of current physiotherapy practice in diagnosis and knowledge translation of greater trochanteric pain syndrome (GTPS). Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland. Journal contribution. <https://hdl.handle.net/10779/rcsi.19431989.v1>

### HANDLE

[10779/rcsi.19431989.v1](https://hdl.handle.net/10779/rcsi.19431989.v1)

### LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

This work is made available under the above open licence by RCSI and has been printed from <https://repository.rcsi.com>. For more information please contact [repository@rcsi.com](mailto:repository@rcsi.com)

### URL

[https://repository.rcsi.com/articles/journal\\_contribution/An\\_international\\_survey\\_of\\_current\\_physiotherapy\\_practice\\_in\\_diagnosis\\_and\\_knowledge\\_translation\\_of\\_greater\\_trochanteric\\_pain\\_syndrome\\_GTPS\\_/19431989/1](https://repository.rcsi.com/articles/journal_contribution/An_international_survey_of_current_physiotherapy_practice_in_diagnosis_and_knowledge_translation_of_greater_trochanteric_pain_syndrome_GTPS_/19431989/1)

French, H., Grimaldi, A., Woodley, S. J., O'Connor, L., & Fearon, A. (2019). An international survey of current physiotherapy practice in diagnosis and knowledge translation of greater trochanteric pain syndrome (GTPS).

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Greater trochanteric pain syndrome (GTPS), associated with gluteus medius and minimus tendinopathy and trochanteric bursitis (Bird et al., 2001) affects up to 15% of women and 6.6% of men over the age of 40 years (Segal et al., 2007). Pain and tenderness about the greater trochanter are reported during side-lying and weightbearing activities (Grimaldi and Fearon, 2015; Fearon et al., 2013) negatively affecting sleep quality, physical activity, work participation and quality of life (Fearon et al., 2014).

Diagnostic utility studies have shown that a targeted clinical assessment provides a reasonably high level of accuracy in predicting the presence of soft tissue pathologies associated with GTPS (Ganderton et al., 2017; Lequesne et al., 2008; Grimaldi et al., 2017). However, it is not clear how well this information has been implemented in clinical practice. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and ultrasound (US) are commonly used to aid diagnosis of GTPS (Westacott et al., 2011, Woodley et al., 2008). Considering the high rates of positive imaging findings in those without GTPS (Ganderton et al., 2017, Woodley et al., 2008, Docking et al., 2019), the extent to which physiotherapists rely on imaging to reach a diagnosis should be ascertained.

It takes approximately 17 years for health research findings to be adopted into practice (Morris et al., 2011). Although multiple strategies to reduce this gap in an allied health arena have been investigated, mixed results have been reported, with insufficient evidence available to recommend one strategy over another (Scott et al., 2012). Understanding what methods physiotherapists favour to update their knowledge may facilitate translation of research findings into clinical practice (Scott et al., 2012). Teaching philosophy suggests that a mixture of situational learning, constructivism and humanistic approaches, along with assessment is likely to drive learning and thus, provide robust knowledge translation (Hunt and Chambers, 2012). To facilitate this, it would be useful to understand the level of confidence that physiotherapists have in making a diagnosis of GTPS, and what approach to knowledge acquisition is favoured by physiotherapists.

## 2 Aims and Objectives

This study aimed to: (i) Understand which diagnostic criteria physiotherapists in Australia, New Zealand (NZ) and Ireland use to determine the presence of GTPS; (ii) Report the level of confidence those physiotherapists have in determining the presence of GTPS; (iii) Determine what strategies physiotherapists deem most important in developing their knowledge of managing patients with GTPS; and (iv) Compare responses across the three countries.

### **3 METHODS**

#### **3.1 Study Design**

This cross-sectional observational study utilised an originally-designed, anonymous, online survey administered to registered physiotherapists in three countries.

#### **3.2 Ethical Considerations.**

Approval was provided by the following research ethics committees: University of Canberra, Australia (REC1346b); University of Otago, NZ (D17/062); and the Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland (REC1346). Participant information was provided at the beginning of the survey and informed consent assumed if participants continued past the first question.

#### **3.3 Survey Development**

The survey was designed by Australian, NZ and Irish physiotherapists with research and extensive clinical experience with GTPS (HF, AG, SW, LO, AF). It was part of a larger survey on the physiotherapy management of GTPS with three of the 51 questions focused on diagnosis and knowledge acquisition of GTPS (Appendix A). Following pilot testing of the survey on 16 physiotherapists, minor amendments were made to skip logic functions and wording to improve question clarity and flow.

#### **3.4 Study Sample**

Registered physiotherapists in Australia, NZ or Ireland who were members of their respective national professional bodies and worked primarily in musculoskeletal or sports settings were included. Potential participants were invited to take part in the survey via invitations from, or advertising through, appropriate clinical interest groups of the respective professional organisations.

Based on membership numbers the target population was estimated at 4200 physiotherapists (Australia, 2750; NZ, 750; Ireland, 700). The required sample size, based on a 5% margin of error, was estimated as 352 (<https://www.surveysystem.com/sscalc.htm>). All relevant clinical interest group members were sampled to allow for non-response, anticipating that not all therapists working in these settings manage people with GTPS.

### **3.5 Data Collection and Analyses**

Data were collected centrally via SurveyMonkey an online survey tool; SPSS v24 (IBM Corp, Chicago, Illinois, USA) was used for data analysis. Only responses whereby  $\geq 80\%$  of data were complete were included. Most data were nominal or ordinal. Where respondents were asked to rank items in order of importance, with 1 being most important, mean ranks were calculated; lower ranks indicating greater importance. Data were analysed descriptively, using medians and interquartile ranges for numerical data and frequency counts for nominal and ordinal data. Comparisons between the countries were made using Chi-squared analyses and Kruskal-Wallis tests. Statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

## **4 Results**

### **4.1 Survey response**

Of the 441 respondents who completed the survey, 80 (18.1%) provided no professional or demographic details, resulting in a valid sample of 361 respondents (Figure 1). As not all questions required an answer, responses did not always total 100%. Where respondents could provide as many responses relevant to them, totals may have been greater than 100%.

### **INSERT FIGURE 1**

### **4.2 Professional /Demographic Details**

Most respondents were female, with the highest proportion in Ireland (Table 1). Most worked in private practice, with a higher proportion of New Zealanders (84.7%) and Australians (83.6%) in private practice than Irish (64.1%) ( $p < 0.001$ ). Australians were older ( $p < 0.001$ ) and more experienced ( $p < 0.001$ ) than Irish or NZ respondents (Table 1). Most respondents had a Bachelor of Physiotherapy degree and formal postgraduate education, with postgraduate qualifications proportionally lowest

amongst Australian respondents ( $p=0.014$ ). Most physiotherapists (246/361, 68.1%) treated less than five patients with GTPS per month; 103/361 (28.5%) treated between five and 15 and 3.5% (3/361) treated more than 15 patients with GTPS per month. The number of treatment sessions varied between countries ( $p<0.001$ ). More than six sessions were commonly provided in NZ (31/72, 43.1%) and Australia (55/211, 26.1%), compared with Ireland (7/78, 9%), where four to six sessions was common (47/78, 60.3%). Private patient financial factors were the biggest limiting factor in all countries (56-67%). Insurance company limits were more important in NZ, and public system wait lists more important in Ireland (Table 1).

**INSERT TABLE 1**

#### **4.3. Diagnosis of GTPS**

The signs, symptoms and imaging used by physiotherapists to diagnose GTPS are outlined in Table 2. Symptoms such as lateral hip pain (LHP) aggravated by loading, side-lying, palpation of the greater trochanter or gluteal tendons, and pain on the single leg stance test were considered most indicative of GTPS. Palpation was not used by 32/361 (8.9%) of respondents, with no inter-country significant difference ( $p=0.21$ ). Australian physiotherapists considered imaging in making their diagnosis more than Irish or NZ therapists.

**INSERT TABLE 2**

#### **4.4 Confidence in Diagnosis**

Most respondents reported being very confident in diagnosing GTPS (245/361, 67.9%), with significant differences between countries ( $p<0.001$ ) for all comparisons for 'very confident' and 'somewhat confident' responses (Figure 2).

**INSERT FIGURE 2**

#### **4.5 Knowledge Acquisition of GTPS**

Overall, physiotherapists rated hands-on experience (ranked 1<sup>st</sup>) above course attendance (2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup>), journals (4<sup>th</sup>) and conferences (6<sup>th</sup>). GTPS courses were deemed most important for updating knowledge on GTPS in Australia, compared with physiotherapists in NZ and Ireland who ranked hands-on clinical experience as most important. NZ physiotherapists ranked work in-service training (2<sup>nd</sup>) higher than Australian (6<sup>th</sup>) or Irish (7<sup>th</sup>) physiotherapists (Table 3).

**INSERT TABLE 3**

## 5 Discussion

In this survey, results showed that although most clinicians appear to be using current evidence in their assessment of patients with GTPS, a proportion use a limited range of tests to inform diagnosis of GTPS whilst the majority were very confident in their diagnosis. Different knowledge acquisition methods were used, most commonly hands-on experience and courses.

With respect to diagnosing GTPS, LHP associated with loading or side-lying was deemed more important than a report of LHP alone, in line with current knowledge of tendinopathy (Docking et al., 2013). Greater trochanter and/or gluteal tendon palpation was consistently considered the most essential component of the physical examination. Painful compression of the soft tissues overlying the greater trochanter (Grimaldi and Fearon, 2015) is widely accepted as the primary sign of GTPS (Bird et al., 2001, Fearon et al., 2013; Lequesne et al., 2008). This premise is supported by two recent studies that evaluated various tests used for prediction of gluteal tendon pathology on MRI: palpation had the highest diagnostic accuracy (Grimaldi et al., 2017) and best negative likelihood ratio (Ganderton et al., 2017, Grimaldi et al., 2017) making it an essential element for differential diagnosis of LHP, particularly for ruling out gluteal tendinopathy or GTPS. Of note, 8.9% of respondents do not use palpation as part of their assessment, thereby overlooking a test that should form the basis of physical examination of GTPS. Within our survey, reproduction of LHP during single leg stance ranked second on physical examination criterion for GTPS. This test, when sustained for up to 30 seconds, demonstrates high specificity (84-100%) (Lequesne et al., 2008) and a useful positive likelihood ratio (12.2) (Grimaldi et al., 2017).

Each of the remaining six tests were selected by less than 50% of respondents. Reproduction of LHP on the Flexion/Abduction/External Rotation (FABER) and Ober's tests have reasonable diagnostic utility for GTPS (Grimaldi et al., 2017, Ganderton et al., 2017, Fearon et al., 2013), with FABER useful for differentiating GTPS from hip OA (Fearon et al., 2013). Only 30% of respondents reported using the resisted de-rotation test or modified version (FADER-R), but both have reasonable utility in diagnosing GTPS (Ganderton et al., 2017, Grimaldi et al., 2017). This may reflect a lack of knowledge regarding usefulness of these tests, some of which have only been recently validated (Grimaldi et al., 2017, Ganderton et al., 2017, Fearon et al., 2013).

Use of imaging to support the diagnosis of GTPS was favoured more by Australian physiotherapists. Ultrasound proved most popular followed by MRI and hip x-ray. Research on the utility of US for detecting gluteal tendon pathology and trochanteric bursae is limited, with no controlled studies

identified (Fearon et al., 2010; Connell et al., 2003). Magnetic resonance imaging, while considered the gold standard for assessing gluteal tendons (Bird et al., 2001, Woodley et al., 2008, Kong et al., 2007) yields high rates of false positive findings (Woodley et al., 2008, Westacott et al., 2011, Ganderton et al., 2017, Grimaldi et al., 2017). Therefore, caution should be applied in relying on imaging for diagnosing GTPS, although MRI may be useful for ruling out other pathologies.

Most clinicians surveyed reported that they use some current evidence in their assessment of patients with GTPS, however many also reported using suboptimal diagnostic methods. This may reflect on how physiotherapists acquire knowledge. It is apparent that physiotherapists use multiple methods, but most respondents ranked “hands-on clinical experience” first or second in their development of knowledge of GTPS, ahead of attending courses, consulting journals or attending conferences. It is recognised that there is no ‘one size fits all’ approach to knowledge acquisition with learning preferences or styles being an important consideration. A systematic review identified that physiotherapists at undergraduate, postgraduate and professional level predominantly learned ‘hands-on’ (situational learning), by applying previously learned knowledge, and gathering and assimilating information (constructivism) (Stander et al., 2019). It remains unclear which components of ‘hands-on’ learning can improve knowledge as it could also incorporate ‘learning from doing’, discussion with colleagues (Dannapfel et al., 2013) or integration of new knowledge with reflective practice. While “hands-on clinical experience” is likely to provide clinicians with valuable insight into pattern recognition and response to specific interventions it does not provide insight into diagnostic utility concepts. Thus, clinicians may have unfounded confidence in their ability to diagnosis GTPS.

It was encouraging that most (67.9%) physiotherapists reported they were “very confident” in diagnosing GTPS; however, this was not consistent between countries. Physiotherapists in Australia (76%) were most confident compared to approximately 64% in Ireland and 49% of those in NZ. This may be in part due to physiotherapists in Australia reporting greater participation in GTPS-specific courses and potentially having more opportunities to access recent GTPS research and associated national and international education/conference activities led by Australian-based physiotherapists (Fearon et al., 2013, Grimaldi and Fearon, 2015, Grimaldi et al., 2015, Grimaldi et al., 2017, Fearon et al., 2015, Fearon et al., 2017, Ganderton et al., 2017).

Although there is limited evidence from physiotherapy, studies in other professions highlight that clinical skills courses (Byrd et al., 2016) and training in evidence-based practice can improve

confidence levels (Bennett et al., 2011; Hecht et al., 2016). However, we cannot directly correlate confidence with CPD activity in this study and it is possible that inter-country differences were due to cultural variation in levels of self-confidence. How confidence relates to information-seeking behaviour is unknown. It is possible that those with lower confidence are more likely to seek professional development opportunities whilst those who are highly confident may not consider further knowledge acquisition is required. Exploration of the links between translation of research into practice, knowledge acquisition and confidence through qualitative methodologies would provide greater insights.

## **5.1 Strengths and Limitations**

To ensure consistency in defining the problem we provided a definition of GTPS (Appendix A). No respondent withdrew from the study based on this definition, supporting our decision. The larger Australian population compared to NZ and Ireland, may skew results towards the Australian experience. However, presenting results by country allows the reader to compare across the three countries to ascertain how consistent physiotherapists are internationally in relation to diagnosis, confidence and knowledge acquisition.

## **5.2 Implications for Research and Practice**

Future work needs to consider the value physiotherapists place on their clinical experience (Scott et al., 2012, Fristedt et al., 2016). It should also focus on the means by which educators can harness the hands-on experience of physiotherapists to facilitate translation of research into practice, while considering that education alone has limited ability to change clinical behaviour (Scott et al., 2012).

## **Conclusion**

This is the first international survey to establish physiotherapists' diagnosis of GTPS, confidence and knowledge acquisition. Although most physiotherapists appear to be drawing on contemporary evidence in their assessment of patients with GTPS, a proportion are not, suggesting further knowledge translation activities are required.

## **Funding**

No funding was obtained for this research.

233

234 **Acknowledgements**

235 The authors thank those physiotherapists who gave valuable feedback on the questionnaire content  
236 during the pilot phase and all those who completed the survey.

237

238 **Conflict of Interest**

239 No authors have a stated conflict of interest.

240

241

## References

- BENNETT, S., HOFFMANN, T. & ARKINS, M. 2011. A multi-professional evidence-based practice course improved allied health students' confidence and knowledge. *J Eval Clin Pract*, 17, 635-9.
- BIRD, P. A., OAKLEY, S. P., SHNIER, R. & KIRKHAM, B. W. 2001. Prospective evaluation of magnetic resonance imaging and physical examination findings in patients with greater trochanteric pain syndrome. *Arthritis Rheum*, 44, 2138-45.
- BYRD, P., WARD, O. & HAMDORF, J. 2016. The Effect of a Surgical Skills Course on Confidence Levels of Rural General Practitioners: An Observational Study. *Surg J (N Y)*, 2, e109-e112.
- CONNELL, D. A., BASS, C., SYKES, C. A., YOUNG, D. & EDWARDS, E. 2003. Sonographic evaluation of gluteus medius and minimus tendinopathy. *Eur Radiol*, 13, 1339-47.
- DANNAPFEL, P., PEOLSSON, A. & NILSEN, P. 2013. What supports physiotherapists' use of research in clinical practice? A qualitative study in Sweden. *Implement Sci*, 8, 31.
- DOCKING, S., SAMIRIC, T., SCASE, E., PURDAM, C. & COOK, J. 2013. Relationship between compressive loading and ECM changes in tendons. *Muscles Ligaments Tendons J*, 3, 7-11.
- DOCKING, S. I., COOK, J., CHEN, S., SCARVELL, J., CORMICK, W., SMITH, P. & FEARON, A. 2019. Identification and differentiation of gluteus medius tendon pathology using ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging. *Musculoskelet Sci Pract*, 41, 1-5.
- FEARON, A., NEEMAN, T., SMITH, P., SCARVELL, J. & COOK, J. 2017. Pain, not structural impairments may explain activity limitations in people with gluteal tendinopathy or hip osteoarthritis: A cross sectional study. *Gait Posture*, 52, 237-243.
- FEARON, A. M., COOK, J. L., SCARVELL, J. M., NEEMAN, T., CORMICK, W. & SMITH, P. N. 2014. Greater trochanteric pain syndrome negatively affects work, physical activity and quality of life: a case control study. *J Arthroplasty*, 29, 383-6.
- FEARON, A. M., GANDERTON, C., SCARVELL, J. M., SMITH, P. N., NEEMAN, T., NASH, C. & COOK, J. L. 2015. Development and validation of a VISA tendinopathy questionnaire for greater trochanteric pain syndrome, the VISA-G. *Man Ther*, 20, 805-13.
- FEARON, A. M., SCARVELL, J. M., COOK, J. L. & SMITH, P. N. 2010. Does ultrasound correlate with surgical or histologic findings in greater trochanteric pain syndrome? A pilot study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*, 468, 1838-44.

274 FEARON, A. M., SCARVELL, J. M., NEEMAN, T., COOK, J. L., CORMICK, W. & SMITH, P. N. 2013.  
 275 Greater trochanteric pain syndrome: defining the clinical syndrome. *Br J Sports Med*,  
 276 47, 649-53.

277 FRISTEDT, S., ARESKOUJ-JOSEFSSON, K. & KAMMERLIND, A.-S. 2016. Factors influencing the  
 278 use of evidence based practice among physiotherapists and occupational therapists in  
 279 their clinical work. *The Internet Journal of Allied Health Sciences & Practice*, 14, 1-14.

280 GANDERTON, C., SEMCIW, A., COOK, J. & PIZZARI, T. 2017. Demystifying the Clinical Diagnosis  
 281 of Greater Trochanteric Pain Syndrome in Women. *J Womens Health (Larchmt)*, 26,  
 282 633-643.

283 GRIMALDI, A. & FEARON, A. 2015. Gluteal Tendinopathy: Integrating Pathomechanics and  
 284 Clinical Features in Its Management. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*, 45, 910-22.

285 GRIMALDI, A., MELLOR, R., HODGES, P., BENNELL, K., WAJSWELNER, H. & VICENZINO, B. 2015.  
 286 Gluteal Tendinopathy: A Review of Mechanisms, Assessment and Management.  
 287 *Sports Med*, 45, 1107-19.

288 GRIMALDI, A., MELLOR, R., NICOLSON, P., HODGES, P., BENNELL, K. & VICENZINO, B. 2017.  
 289 Utility of clinical tests to diagnose MRI-confirmed gluteal tendinopathy in patients  
 290 presenting with lateral hip pain. *Br J Sports Med*, 51, 519-524.

291 HECHT, L., BUHSE, S. & MEYER, G. 2016. Effectiveness of training in evidence-based medicine  
 292 skills for healthcare professionals: a systematic review. *BMC Med Educ*, 16, 103.

293 HUNT, L. & CHAMBERS, D. 2012. *University teaching in focus : a learning-centred approach*,  
 294 ACER Press: .

295 KONG, A., VAN DER VLIET, A. & ZADOW, S. 2007. MRI and US of gluteal tendinopathy in  
 296 greater trochanteric pain syndrome. *Eur Radiol*, 17, 1772-83.

297 LEQUESNE, M., MATHIEU, P., VUILLEMIN-BODAGHI, V., BARD, H. & DJIAN, P. 2008. Gluteal  
 298 tendinopathy in refractory greater trochanter pain syndrome: diagnostic value of two  
 299 clinical tests. *Arthritis Rheum*, 59, 241-6.

300 MORRIS, Z. S., WOODING, S. & GRANT, J. 2011. The answer is 17 years, what is the question:  
 301 understanding time lags in translational research. *J R Soc Med*, 104, 510-20.

302 SCOTT, S. D., ALBRECHT, L., O'LEARY, K., BALL, G. D., HARTLING, L., HOFMEYER, A., JONES, C.  
 303 A., KLASSEN, T. P., KOVACS BURNS, K., NEWTON, A. S., THOMPSON, D. & DRYDEN, D.  
 304 M. 2012. Systematic review of knowledge translation strategies in the allied health  
 305 professions. *Implement Sci*, 7, 70.

306 SEGAL, N. A., FELSON, D. T., TORNER, J. C., ZHU, Y., CURTIS, J. R., NIU, J. & NEVITT, M. C. 2007.  
 307 Greater trochanteric pain syndrome: epidemiology and associated factors. *Archives of*  
 308 *Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 88, 988-92.

309 STANDER, J., GRIMMER, K. & BRINK, Y. 2019. Learning styles of physiotherapists: a systematic  
 310 scoping review. *BMC Med Educ*, 19, 2.

311 WESTACOTT, D. J., MINNS, J. I. & FOGUET, P. 2011. The diagnostic accuracy of magnetic  
 312 resonance imaging and ultrasonography in gluteal tendon tears--a systematic review.  
 313 *Hip Int*, 21, 637-45.

314 WOODLEY, S. J., NICHOLSON, H. D., LIVINGSTONE, V., DOYLE, T. C., MEIKLE, G. R., MACINTOSH,  
 315 J. E. & MERCER, S. R. 2008. Lateral hip pain: findings from magnetic resonance imaging  
 316 and clinical examination. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther*, 38, 313-28.

317