

## Sex education, first sex and sexual health outcomes in adulthood: Findings from a nationally representative sexual health survey

### AUTHOR(S)

Ashling Bourke, Daniel Boduszek, Caroline Kelleher, Orla McBride, Karen Morgan

### CITATION

Bourke, Ashling; Boduszek, Daniel; Kelleher, Caroline; McBride, Orla; Morgan, Karen (2014): Sex education, first sex and sexual health outcomes in adulthood: Findings from a nationally representative sexual health survey. Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland. Journal contribution.  
<https://hdl.handle.net/10779/rcsi.10772951.v1>

### HANDLE

[10779/rcsi.10772951.v1](https://hdl.handle.net/10779/rcsi.10772951.v1)

### LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

This work is made available under the above open licence by RCSI and has been printed from <https://repository.rcsi.com>. For more information please contact [repository@rcsi.com](mailto:repository@rcsi.com)

### URL

[https://repository.rcsi.com/articles/journal\\_contribution/Sex\\_education\\_first\\_sex\\_and\\_sexual\\_health\\_outcomes\\_in\\_adulthood\\_Findings\\_from\\_a\\_nationally\\_representative\\_sexual\\_health\\_survey/10772951/1](https://repository.rcsi.com/articles/journal_contribution/Sex_education_first_sex_and_sexual_health_outcomes_in_adulthood_Findings_from_a_nationally_representative_sexual_health_survey/10772951/1)

Sex education, first sex and sexual health outcomes in adulthood: Findings from a nationally  
representative sexual health survey

Ashling Bourke<sup>1,2</sup>, Daniel Boduszek<sup>1,3</sup>, Caroline Kelleher<sup>1</sup>, Orla McBride<sup>1,4</sup>, Karen Morgan<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Population Health Sciences, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 123 St. Stephen's Green, Dublin 2, Ireland

<sup>2</sup> Education Department, St. Patrick's College, a college of Dublin City University, Drumcondra, Dublin 9, Ireland

<sup>3</sup> Department of Behavioural and Social Sciences (Room R2/23), University of Huddersfield, Huddersfield, HD1 3DH, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup> School of Psychology, University of Ulster, Northland Road, Co. Londonderry, BT48 7JL, Northern Ireland

<sup>5</sup> School of Medicine, Perdana University-Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 43400 Serdang Darul Ehsan, Malaysia

Corresponding author: Dr. Ashling Bourke, Education Department, St. Patrick's College, Drumcondra, Dublin 9, Ireland

Email: [Ashling.Bourke@spd.dcu.ie](mailto:Ashling.Bourke@spd.dcu.ie); Tel: +353-1-8842309

## **Abstract**

This study investigated the relationship between sex education received at school and sexual health behaviours at first sex and later in adulthood, using nationally representative data. Respondents were adults from the 2010 Irish Contraception and Crisis Pregnancy Survey (n = 3002), a cross-sectional survey designed to assess knowledge, attitudes and behaviours relating to sex, contraception and pregnancy in Ireland. A multinomial logistic regression investigated the predictors of age and contraception use at first sex. Respondents who received sex education were more likely to have first sex at an older age and use contraception on this occasion. Sex education also significantly increased the likelihood of using contraception at first sex, when first sex occurred before 17 years of age. Regression analyses also investigated the effect of sex education and sexual health behaviours into adulthood. Sex education was negatively associated with experiencing a crisis pregnancy and positively associated with a history of STI testing. There was no association found between sex education and contraception use over the past year. Findings suggest that sex education is an important factor in the context of first sex and later sexual health. Sex education programmes should continue to equip adolescents as they make immediate sexual behaviour decisions and further sexual health-related decisions throughout their lifespan.

## **Introduction**

Since the 1970s, numerous studies have examined the link between receiving sex education and subsequent sexual health outcomes in adolescence and adulthood (Yu 2010). This relatively large body of evidence is inconclusive, and inconsistent findings are likely due to variation in the types of formal and informal sex education evaluated across studies, and the range of sexual health outcomes assessed. Nonetheless, research on formal sex education suggests it may have a protective influence on early sexual health behaviours. For example, several research studies have demonstrated that receiving formal sex education can delay first sex (Lindberg and Maddow-Zimet 2012; Mueller, Gavin, and Kulkarni 2008). Formal sex education also appears to have a positive influence on young people's contraceptive decisions, including higher levels of contraception use at first sex (Lindberg and Maddow-Zimet 2012; Mueller, Gavin, and Kulkarni 2008; Kirby, Laris, and Rolleri 2007). Receipt of formal sex education is also associated with proactive health behaviours such as screening/testing for sexually transmitted infections (STIs) (Kirby 2008). These findings have led to the proposal that the importance of sex education may be particularly salient for certain subgroups that are traditionally at risk for early first sex and for contracting STIs (Mueller, Gavin, and Kulkarni 2008).

Less consistent results have emerged from studies examining the relationship between receiving sex education while growing up and sexual health behaviours later in adulthood (Kirby, Laris, and Rolleri 2007). For example, one review of sex education programmes found that few studies examined the impact of sex education on the incidence of STIs or pregnancy in 9-24 year olds; among those that did, sex education did not decrease the incidence of STIs or pregnancies in this age group (Lindberg and Maddow-Zimet 2012). On the other hand, school-based education, specifically focused on contraceptive use, was associated with a greater likelihood of STI testing (Dodge, Reece, and Herbenick 2009).

Although research has focused on the role of sex education in reducing teenage pregnancies (Kohler, Manhart, and Lafferty 2008), little attention has been given to the lifetime incidence of unintended pregnancies – a term used in the UK and the US to refer to any pregnancy that is unwanted or mistimed (Mosher, Jones, and Abma 2012). In Ireland, a similar term ‘crisis pregnancy’ is used to identify those pregnancies that are unintended or unplanned *and* represent a personal trauma for the woman or couple involved at any point during the pregnancy (Rundle et al. 2004). The term was introduced in order to differentiate between unplanned and unintended pregnancies that are not planned at the time but do not represent a crisis for the woman involved (Rundle et al. 2004). Socio-demographic factors have also been associated with sexual health behaviours throughout the lifespan. For example, religiosity has been associated with delayed first sex (Rostosky, Regnerus, and Wright 2003), while education level has been associated with the experience of an unintended pregnancy (Finer and Zolna 2011) and STI testing (Fernández et al. 2006).

Ireland is a largely Catholic country, with 84% of the population describing themselves as Roman Catholics in the 2011 Census (CSO 2012), although the influence of religion on Irish society is arguably in decline over the past decade (Nic Ghiolla Phádraig 2009). Restrictive legislation in Ireland means that legal abortion is effectively unavailable in any Irish setting, although legislation is currently being introduced which will likely change this, albeit only in limited circumstances. The role of religion in Irish society is perhaps most starkly reflected in the Irish education system. Ireland has a monopolistic denominational tradition in schools, although this too is slowly changing. A 2009 paper noted that 99% of Irish primary schools are denominational; 93% are Catholic and 6% are Protestant (Irwin 2009). School sex education in Ireland, termed Relationships and Sexuality Education (RSE), was developed in 1996. The RSE curriculum emphasises relationships rather than solely sexuality and is

orientated toward helping children gain self-esteem and self-confidence (Mayock, Kitching, and Morgan 2007). Although RSE has been included as part of the mandatory Social, Personal and Health Education (SPHE) curriculum since 2003, its implementation is determined by the individual schools' RSE policy which must reflect the core values and ethos of the school (DoE 1995) and these are frequently traditional values (Irwin 2009). This is reflected in the finding that many students reported dissatisfaction with the lack of openness about sex and sexuality in Irish schools, which they attributed, in many cases, to the school's Catholic or religious ethos (Mayock, Kitching, and Morgan 2007).

The current study investigates the relationship between school sex education and the context of first sexual intercourse and adult sexual health behaviours, using retrospective data from a large, nationally representative sexual health study. The main objectives of this study are:

1. To explore the association between receiving sex education at school and the context of first sex (i.e. age and use of contraception).
2. To examine how receiving sex education while growing up is related to sexual health outcomes in adulthood (i.e., history of STI testing, unplanned or 'crisis' pregnancy, and contraception use over the past year).

## **Methods**

### ***Survey***

Data were from the 2010 Irish Contraception and Crisis Pregnancy Survey (ICCP-2010) (McBride, Morgan, and McGee 2012a), a nationally representative cross-sectional telephone survey of adult men and women aged 18-45 years living in Ireland ( $n = 3002$ ). ICCP-2010 was designed to assess knowledge, attitudes and behaviours relating to sex, contraception and pregnancy in 2010 in Ireland. Quota sampling was used to ensure that the sample was representative of the general population. Respondents were recruited using both landline and mobile telephones, and interviewed using the Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI). Experienced and trained female interviewers conducted the interview. Telephone numbers were randomly generated using random digit dialling (RDD). The overall response rate for the survey was 69% (79% for the landline strand and 61% for the mobile telephone strand). Detailed survey methodology is reported elsewhere (McBride, Morgan, and McGee 2012a). Respondents were only asked questions that were applicable to their sexual history, education and experiences (as outlined below).

### ***Sex education***

All respondents were asked: “Thinking about when you were growing up [about age 10-16 years], did you receive sex education on: sex and sexual intercourse; sexual feelings, relationships and emotions; contraception; homosexuality and safer sex/sexually transmitted infections?”. Respondents were asked where they received this education either at home, school and/or some ‘other’ source (which was an open-ended question; common responses included magazines, the internet, from friends, etc.). This study focuses on those people who reported receiving at least some sex education at school. Respondents were subsequently asked “Overall how helpful do you think your sex education growing up was in terms of

preparing you for adult relationships?”, to which they were asked to respond on a five-point Likert scale from “very helpful” to “very unhelpful”.

#### *Respondent characteristics*

Respondents were asked how important religious beliefs were to them at this time in their life (five-point Likert scale ranging from “very unimportant” to “very important” -recoded as “important” versus “neutral/unimportant”). Level of education was also provided by all participants (coded as “pre-Leaving Certificate” or “Leaving Certificate or higher”). Leaving certificate corresponds to completed second level education in Ireland. Social Class (SC) was determined from data relating to respondents’ occupation and that of the head of the household, coded according to the Central Statistics Office (Ireland) guidelines (CSO 1986). This variable was coded as SC 1-2, including professional workers and managerial and technical workers; SC 3-4, including non-manual and skilled manual workers; SC 5-6, including semi-skilled and unskilled workers; and SC 7, which included all others, including never worked and long-term unemployed. The respondent’s relationship status and age at the time of the survey were also obtained.

#### *Context of first sex*

Adults who had ever had heterosexual intercourse were asked how old they were when they first had sex (dichotomised as occurring before or after 17 years) and whether they used contraception on this occasion (binary coded as ‘yes’ vs. ‘no/don’t remember’). Data from those respondents who provided information on these two questions were combined to generate a categorical variable representing ‘risk’ as follows: (1) age of onset occurred before age 17 years and contraception was not used; (2) age of onset occurred before age 17 years and contraception was used; (3) age of onset occurred at 17 years or older and contraception was not used; and (4) age of onset occurred at 17 years or older and contraception was used. The aim of this variable was to examine whether younger age at first sex is the primary risk



factor and whether the use of contraception at the younger age negates some of the risk involved.

#### *Adulthood sexual health outcomes*

All respondents in the survey who had ever experienced heterosexual intercourse were asked whether they had ever been screened or tested for a sexually transmissible infection (STI) other than HIV (binary coded as ‘a history of STI testing’ or ‘no history of STI testing’), their contraception use over the last year, for those respondents who had sex in the past year and were not trying to get pregnant (binary coded as ‘used contraception in the last year’ or ‘did not use contraception in the last year’), and their lifetime experiences of crisis pregnancy (binary coded as ‘experienced a crisis pregnancy’ or ‘did not experience a crisis pregnancy’). The experience of a crisis pregnancy was asked of both male and female respondents.

#### *Analytic plan*

The analysis was carried out in four steps.

1. The sexual health outcomes were compared across gender using Chi square analysis
2. The different topics of sex education received at school and the perceived helpfulness of this education were explored
3. The association between receiving any school sex education and demographic factors, and the context of first sex was investigated using a multinomial logistic regression. The regression analysis is presented in terms of relative risk ratios (RRRs). The RRR outlines the probability that respondents in a given category for the outcome variable received any school sex education, compared to the reference group.
4. The relationship between sex education and sexual health outcomes (i.e., STI testing, contraception use and the experience of a crisis pregnancy) were investigated using three binary logistic regressions.

Data were weighted to ensure estimates are representative of the adult general population of Ireland (see McBride, Morgan, and McGee 2012a for details). Analyses were carried out using SPSS.

## Results

Table 1 presents the frequencies of the sexual health behaviours by gender. A higher proportion of men (26%) had sex before they were 17 years of age compared to women ( $\chi^2 (1, N = 2789) = 32.42, p < 0.001$ ). A higher proportion of men (25%) reported not using contraception on this occasion, compared to women (20%) ( $\chi^2 (1, N = 2786) = 16.87, p < 0.001$ ). A higher proportion of women (18%) reported the experience of a crisis pregnancy compared to men (8%) ( $\chi^2 (1, N = 2813) = 49.80, p < 0.001$ ). There was no difference found across the two groups in their use of contraception over the past year. A higher proportion of women (25%) reported attending for STI testing, compared to men (19%) ( $\chi^2 (1, N = 2704) = 17.36, p < 0.001$ ).

Insert Table 1 here

A brief analysis of those who received sex education at school found that 88% received education on 'sex and sexual relationships'; 52% received education on 'sexual feelings, relationships and emotions'; 74% received education on 'contraception'; 35% on 'homosexuality'; and 69% received education on 'safer sex/STIs'. In addition, 61% of the respondents reported the education as 'very helpful' or 'helpful', while 21% rated the education as 'unhelpful' or 'very unhelpful' (the remainder being in the neutral category).

The results of the multinomial logistic regression analyses are presented in Table 2. The reference group for the outcome variable was those respondents who had first sex before aged 17 years and did not use contraception on this occasion; each of the other three categories was compared to this reference group. The unadjusted model (Model 1) found that respondents who received sex education were more likely to use contraception if they had sex before the age of 17 years ( $RRR = 2.33, p < 0.05$ ) and were more likely to have sex at an older age and use contraception ( $RRR = 2.23, p < 0.05$ ), compared to those who did not receive sex education.

The adjusted model (Model 2) investigated the impact of receiving sex education on the context of first sex while controlling for gender, social class, respondent age, relationship status, education level and religiosity. In the adjusted model, sex education was a significant predictor of contraception use for those who had sex before age 17 years of age ( $RRR = 1.62$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Sex education was also a significant predictor of both older age at first sex and contraception use on this occasion ( $RRR = 1.77$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Additionally, men were less likely than women to report contraception use when first sex occurred at a younger age ( $RRR = 0.68$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ); to have first sex at an older age and not use contraception ( $RRR = 0.50$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ); and to have first sex at an older age and use contraception ( $RRR = 0.42$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Respondents in lower social classes were less likely than respondents in the highest social classes to have sex at an older age and use contraception on this occasion (SC 5-6:  $RRR = 0.60$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) (SC 7:  $RRR = 0.60$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Younger respondents (18-35 years) were more likely than older respondents (36-45 years) to use contraception when they had sex before aged 17 years (18-25 years:  $RRR = 4.09$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) (26-35 years:  $RRR = 2.32$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Younger respondents (18-35 years) were also less likely than older respondents (36-45 years) to be over 17 when they had first sex and not use contraception at this time (18-25 years:  $RRR = 0.22$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) (26-35 years:  $RRR = 0.49$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Respondents who were widowed, separated or divorced were less likely than married respondents to have sex at an older age and not use contraception ( $RRR = 0.49$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) and to have sex at an older age and use contraception ( $RRR = 0.37$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). Respondents with pre-Leaving Certificate educational attainment were less likely than those who had Leaving Certificate education or higher to report contraception use when first sex occurred at a younger age ( $RRR = 0.56$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ); to have first sex at an older age and not use contraception ( $RRR = 0.36$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ); and to have first sex at an older age and use contraception ( $RRR = 0.23$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ).

Insert Table 2 here

Insert Figure 1 here

Three logistic regressions were conducted to investigate the association between receiving sex education at school and sexual health outcomes in adulthood, specifically a history of STI testing, contraceptive use in the past year and the experience of a crisis pregnancy. Table 3 presents each regression analysis controlled for gender, social class, age, relationship status, education and religiosity. Respondents who received sex education at school were more likely to have a history of STI testing ( $OR = 1.32$ ,  $95\% CI = 0.62-0.93$ ) and less likely to have experiences a crisis pregnancy ( $OR = 0.69$ ,  $95\% CI = 1.15-1.83$ ). There was no association found between receiving sex education and use of contraception over the past year. Men were less likely to have a history of STI testing ( $OR = 0.63$ ,  $95\% CI = 0.52-0.76$ ) or have experienced a crisis pregnancy ( $OR = 0.35$ ,  $95\% CI = 0.28-0.45$ ). There were no gender differences in the likelihood of using contraception over the past year. There were no social class effects found for the experience of a crisis pregnancy. Respondents in aged 26-35 years were more likely ( $OR = 1.42$ ,  $95\% CI = 1.12-1.79$ ) to have a history of STI testing compared with older respondents (36-45 years), whereas the youngest group of respondents (18-25 years) were less likely to have a history of STI testing ( $OR = 0.68$ ,  $95\% CI = 0.50-0.92$ ) compared with the older age group (36-25 years). Younger respondents were also more likely than older respondents (36-45 years) to report contraception use over the past year (26-35 years:  $OR = 1.81$ ,  $95\% CI = 1.19-2.74$ ; 18-25 years:  $OR = 4.18$ ,  $95\% CI = 1.73-10.12$ ). Younger respondents (18-25 years) are also less likely to have experienced a crisis pregnancy ( $OR = 0.52$ ,  $95\% CI = 0.34-0.80$ ). Respondents who reported that religion was important to them were less likely to report a history of STI testing ( $OR = 0.64$ ,  $95\% CI = 0.53-0.77$ ) or have experienced a crisis pregnancy ( $OR = 0.73$ ,  $95\% CI = 0.58-0.92$ ).

compared with those who reported that religion was neutral or not important to them. There was no religiosity effect found for contraception use over the past year. As indicated in Table 3, there were social class effects found for history of STI testing and contraception over the past year; relationship effects found for all three sexual health outcomes; and level of education effects found for the experience of a crisis pregnancy.

CIInsert Table 3 here

## **Discussion**

This unique study extends the body of research which investigates the role of sex education on early sexual health behaviours and, more importantly, beyond the experience of first sex, into adulthood, using a nationally representative sample. The findings indicate that receiving sex education in school may act as a protective factor against some negative sexual health practices, both on the occasion of first sex and later in life. Receiving sex education significantly increased the likelihood of using contraception at first sex, when first sex occurred before the age of 17 years. This supports the notion that sex education may be of particular importance for vulnerable subgroups that are at risk for early first sex (Mueller, Gavin, and Kulkarni 2008). However, these formal sex education programmes should go beyond school, perhaps through community-based youth services, as early school leavers may already be at risk for negative sexual health behaviours and they also miss out on the protective effect of school based sex education. The results also indicate that those respondents who received sex education, compared to those who did not, were more likely to have first sex at an older age and use contraception on this occasion. Furthermore, younger respondents were found to be more than twice as likely to report contraception use when they had first sex at a young age. This may indicate that although the younger cohort may have sex at a young age, they are using contraceptives when doing so. However, as we do not know what type of contraception used at this time, it is not clear whether respondents were using contraception to protecting against pregnancies only or whether they were using contraception to protect against both pregnancy and STIs and HIV. Additionally, men were more likely to report being in the riskiest category of having sex at a younger age and not using contraception on this occasion.

Receiving school-based sex education growing up also had a positive effect on some sexual health behaviours in adulthood, specifically STI testing and crisis pregnancy, but it

was found to have no effect on the use of contraception over the past year. Respondents who received sex education at school were more likely to have a history of STI testing and were less likely to have experienced a crisis pregnancy.

In relation to sociodemographic variables, men were less likely to report attending for an STI or experiencing a crisis pregnancy.. There was a strong age effect found for contraception used in the last year, such that younger respondents over four times more likely to have used contraception in the last year compared with older respondents, suggesting that younger cohorts are making more positive sexual health choices than older cohorts. Younger respondents (18-35 years) were also less likely to report the non-use of contraceptives when first sex occurred at a young age, compared with older respondents (36-45 years). Younger respondents were also found to be less likely to have a history of STI testing or to have experienced a crisis pregnancy. This age effect is likely due the shortened exposure period to risky sexual health behaviours for younger respondents. Similar to previous findings, religiosity was associated with having first sex at an older age, but was not associated with contraception use, either in the recent past or at the time of first sex. This may indicate that religion does not appear to play a role in individual's choices about their contraception use. This supports the notion that influence of religion in Irish society is in decline (Nic Ghiolla Phádraig 2009) and religion is may have a weaker influence on the contraception choices younger people as it had on previous generations. Religiosity was, however, related to a decreased likelihood of having attended for STI testing or having experienced a crisis pregnancy. The results also found that sex education in schools has a positive influence on sexual health outcomes, including **contraception use** at the time of first sex, which may indicate that the education provided in Irish schools is less influenced by the religious ethos of the schools than expected. Furthermore, a considerable proportion of respondents rated their education as helpful (61%). The findings also suggest that level of education is



associated with sexual health behaviours. Those respondents who had pre-Leaving Certificate education were more likely to be in the most at risk group in terms of first sex, that is, they were more likely to have sex before 17 years of age and they were less likely to use contraception on this occasion. This supports previous findings from Ireland, where early school leavers were found to be vulnerable to sexual risk taking behaviour (Mayock and Byrne 2004). Overall these results would suggest that while some sociodemographic groups may be at risk of some risky sexual health behaviours, these factors generally depend on the specific sexual health variable under consideration.

Some study limitations should be noted. Given the cross-sectional nature of the data, it is not known whether sex education preceded first sex or vice versa. Respondents were asked if they received sex education ‘while growing up’ and were not asked to specify their age when they received sex education. Furthermore, although contraception use at first sex was investigated, there are no data available on the type of contraception used. Despite these limitations, the current study has a number of notable strengths, including a large nationally representative sample, a novel dual landline and mobile telephone recruitment strategy, and a high response rate (McBride, Morgan, and McGee 2012b). Finally, this is a unique contribution to the literature as it investigates not only the relationship between sex education and the context of first sex but also sexual health behaviours in adulthood.

In conclusion, sex education has a positive influence on the context of first sex, specifically the use of contraception but appears to have no effect on the age at which this occurs. However, when first sex does occur at an early age, sex education increases the likelihood of contraception use for this vulnerable group. Thus, those at risk for early first sex should be a particular target for sex education. The context of first sex can subsequently influence later sexual health behaviours, with initial sexual health decisions being mirrored later in life, in particular contraception use. This suggests that the context of first sex is a key

stage at which to target interventions, due to its influence on later sexual behaviours. Furthermore, as sex education also has a protective influence for some sexual health behaviours later in life, sex education programmes should continue to equip adolescents as they make immediate sexual behaviour decisions and further sexual health-related decisions throughout their lifespan.

**Acknowledgments**

We would like to thank the two anonymous reviewers for improving this manuscript

**Funding**

This work was supported by the Government of Ireland Research & Senior Research Fellowship Projects in the Humanities and Social Sciences co-funded by the HSE Crisis Pregnancy Programme [Grant number: 1425]

## References

- Central Statistics Office (CSO). 2012. *Religion, ethnicity and Irish Travellers*. Dublin, Ireland: Stationery Office.
- Central Statistics Office (CSO). 1986. Census of the population 1986: Classification of occupations. Cork, Ireland: Central Statistics Office.
- Department of Education (DoE). 1995. *Report of the Expert Advisory Group on Relationships and Sexuality Education*. Dublin: The Stationery Office.
- Dodge, B., M. Reece, and D. Herbenick. 2009. "School-Based Condom Education and Its Relations With Diagnoses of and Testing for Sexually Transmitted Infections Among Men in the United States." *American Journal of Public Health* 99(12): 2180-2182.
- Fernández, M.I., J.B. Collazo, G.S. Bowen, L.M. Varga, N. Hernandez, and T. Perrino. 2006. "Predictors of HIV Testing and Intention to Test among Hispanic Farmworkers in South Florida." *Journal of Rural Health* 21(1):56-64.
- Finer, L.B., and M.R. Zolna. 2011. "Unintended Pregnancy in the United States: Incidence and Disparities, 2006." *Contraception* 84(5): 478-485.
- Irwin, J. 2009. "Interculturalism, Ethos and Ideology. Barriers to Freedom and Democracy in Irish Primary Education. *REA: A Journal of Religion, Education and the Arts* 6: 1-26
- Kirby, D.B. 2008. "The Impact of Abstinence and Comprehensive Sex and STD/HIV Education Programs on Adolescent Sexual Behavior." *Sexuality Research and Social Policy* 5(3): 18-27.
- Kirby, D.B., B.A. Laris, and L.A. Roller. 2007. "Sex and HIV Education Programs: Their Impact on Sexual Behaviors of Young People Throughout the World." *Journal of Adolescent Health* 40(3): 206-17.

- Kohler, P.K., L.E. Manhart, and W.E Lafferty. 2008. "Abstinence-only and Comprehensive Sex Education and the Initiation of Sexual Activity and Teen Pregnancy. *Journal of Adolescent Health* 42:344-51.
- Lindberg, L, and I. Maddow-Zimet. 2012. "Consequences of Sex Education on Teen and Young Adult Sexual Behaviors and Outcomes." *Journal of Adolescent Health* 50(2): S26.
- Maycock, P., K. Kitching, and M. Morgan. 2007. *RSE in the Context of SPHE: An Assessment of the Challenges to Full Implementation of the Programme in Post-Primary Schools*. Summary Report. Dublin: Crisis Pregnancy Agency.
- Mayock, P. and T. Byrne. 2004. *A Study of Sexual Health Issues, Attitudes and Behaviours: The Views of Early School Leavers*. Dublin: Crisis Pregnancy Agency.
- McBride, O., K. Morgan, and H. McGee. 2012a. *Irish Crisis Pregnancy and Contraception Study 2010 (ICCP-2010): A survey of the general population*. Dublin: HSE Crisis Pregnancy Programme; Report No.:24.
- McBride, O., K. Morgan, and H. McGee. 2012b. "Recruitment using mobile telephones in an Irish general population sexual health survey: Challenges and practical solutions." *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 12: 45.
- Mosher, W.D., J. Jones, and J.C. Abma. 2012. *Intended and Unintended Births in the United States: 1982–2010. National Health Statistics Reports; No 55*. Hyattsville, MD: National Centre for Health Statistics.
- Mueller, T.E., L.E. Gavin, and A. Kulkarni. 2008. "The Association between Sex Education and Youth's Engagement in Sexual Intercourse, Age at First Intercourse, and Birth Control Use at First Sex." *Journal of Adolescent Health* 42(1): 89-96.
- Nic Ghiolla Phádraig, M. 2009. *Religion in Ireland: No longer an exception*. Coleraine, Northern Ireland: Economic and Social Research Council.

Rostosky, S., M. Regnerus, and M.L. Wright. 2003. "Coital Debut: The Role of Religiosity and Sex Attitudes in the Add Health Survey." *Journal of Sex Research* 40(4):358-367.

Rundle, K., C. Leigh, H. McGee, and R. Layte. 2004. *The Irish Contraception and Crisis Pregnancy (ICCP) Study: A survey of the general population*. Dublin: HSE Crisis pregnancy Agency.

Yu, J. 2010. "Sex Education beyond School: Implications for Practice and Research." *Sex Education* 10(2): 187-99.



Table 1. A comparison of the context of first sex and later sexual health behaviours across male and female respondents who have had heterosexual sexual intercourse (n = 2813).

| <b>Sexual Health Behaviours</b>            | <b>Male (n = 1343)</b> | <b>Female (n = 1470)</b> | <b>Differences between groups</b>                   |
|--|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
|  | <b>n (%)</b>           | <b>n (%)</b>             | <b><math>\chi^2</math> (df) (<math>\phi</math>)</b> |
| <b>Age at first Sex</b>                    |                        |                          | 32.42 (1)(0.11)*                                    |
| Before aged 17                             | 342 (26)               | 245 (17)                 |   |
| Aged 17 and older                          | 992 (74)               | 1210 (82)                |   |
| Missing                                    | 9 (.7)                 | 15 (1)                   |   |
| <b>Contraception used at first sex</b>     |                        |                          | 16.87 (1) (0.08)*                                   |
| Yes  | 992 (74)               | 1180 (80)                |   |
| No   | 338 (25)               | 276 (19)                 |   |
| Missing                                    | 13 (1)                 | 14 (1)                   |   |
| <b>Attended for STI testing</b>            |                        |                          | 17.36 (1) (0.08)*                                   |
| Yes  | 277 (21)               | 376 (26)                 |   |
| No   | 1062 (79)              | 989 (67)                 |   |
| Missing                                    | 4 (.3)                 | 105 (7)                  |   |
| <b>Contraception used in the last year</b> |                        |                          | n/s   |
| Yes  | 1053 (78)              | 1119 (76)                |   |
| No   | 66 (5)                 | 67 (5)                   |   |



|         |          |          |
|---------|----------|----------|
| Missing | 224 (17) | 384 (19) |
|---------|----------|----------|

| <b>Sexual Health Behaviours</b>       | <b>Male (n = 1343)</b> | <b>Female (n = 1470)</b> | <b>Differences between groups</b>                   |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|---|
|                                       | <b>n (%)</b>           | <b>n (%)</b>             | <b><math>\chi^2</math> (df) (<math>\phi</math>)</b> |
| <b>Experienced a crisis pregnancy</b> |                        |                          | 49.80 (1) (0.13)*                                   |
| Yes                                   | 122 (9)                | 269 (18)                 |   |
| No                                    | 1221 (91)              | 1201 (82)                |   |

Note: \* indicates a significant difference between the groups at the 0.001 level. n/s indicates that the difference between the groups was not significant.

Table 2. Weighted relative risk ratios from multinomial logistic regression analysis explaining association between receipt of school sex education and age and contraception use at first sex (n = 2768).

|         |  | First sexual intercourse before age 17 years and contraception used (n = 397) |      | First sexual intercourse aged 17 years or older and contraception not used (n = 430) |      | First sexual intercourse aged 17 years or older and contraception used (n = 1760) |      |
|---------|--|---|------|--|------|---|------|
|         |  | RRR<br>(95% CI)   | SE   | RRR<br>(95% CI)  | SE   | RRR<br>(95% CI)   | SE   |
| Model 1 | Did not receive any school sex education | 1.00  |      | 1.00   |      | 1.00  |      |
|         | Received any school sex education        | 2.33(1.69-3.29)*  | 0.18 | 0.89 (0.64-1.24)   | 0.17 | 2.23 (1.67-2.99)*   | 0.15 |
| Model 2 |  |   |      |  |      |   |      |
|         | <b>Receipt of sex education</b>          |   |      |  |      |   |      |
|         | Did not receive school sex education     | 1.00  |      |  |      |   |      |
|         | Received school sex education            | 1.62 (1.13-2.33)*   | 0.19 | 0.98 (0.70-1.39)   | 0.18 | 1.77 (1.29-2.42)*   | 0.16 |
|         | <b>Gender</b>                            |   |      |  |      |   |      |
|         | Women                                    | 1.00  |      |  |      |   |      |
|         | Men                                      | 0.68 (0.47-0.98)*   | 0.19 | 0.50 (0.35-0.71)*  | 0.18 | 0.42 (0.31-0.58)*   | 0.17 |
|         | <b>Social Class</b>                      |   |      |  |      |   |      |
|         | Social Class 1-2                         | 1.00  |      |  |      |   |      |
|         | Social Class 3-4                         | 1.22 (0.78-1.91)  | 0.23 | 1.40 (0.91-2.16)   | 0.17 | 1.10 (0.75-1.63)  | 0.20 |

|                                |                   |      |                   |      |                   |      |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| Social Class 5-6               | 0.88 (0.50-1.56)  | 0.29 | 0.88 (0.51-1.54)  | 0.26 | 0.60 (0.36-0.97)* | 0.25 |
| Social Class 7                 | 1.00 (0.61-1.65)  | 0.25 | 0.97 (0.59-1.59)  | 0.25 | 0.60 (0.38-0.92)* | 0.22 |
| <b>Age</b>                     |                   |      |                   |      |                   |      |
| 36-45 years                    | 1.00              |      |                   |      |                   |      |
| 26-35 years                    | 2.32 (1.49-3.61)* | 0.31 | 0.49 (0.33-0.74)* | 0.21 | 1.20 (0.83-1.73)  | 0.27 |
| 18-25 years                    | 4.09 (2.24-7.45)* | 0.23 | 0.22 (0.12-0.42)* | 0.33 | 1.02 (0.60-1.72)  | 0.19 |
| <b>Relationship status</b>     |                   |      |                   |      |                   |      |
| Married                        | 1.00              |      |                   |      |                   |      |
| Single                         | 0.98 (0.61-1.57)  | 0.24 | 0.99 (0.63-1.57)  | 0.21 | 1.26 (0.83-1.89)  | 0.21 |
| Cohabiting                     | 1.14 (0.64-2.01)  | 0.29 | 0.77 (0.43-1.39)  | 0.25 | 0.86 (0.51-1.44)  | 0.26 |
| Separated/divorced/<br>widowed | 0.73 (0.33-1.65)  | 0.41 | 0.49 (0.24-0.99)* | 0.31 | 0.37 (0.19-0.73)* | 0.35 |
| <b>Education</b>               |                   |      |                   |      |                   |      |
| Leaving Cert or<br>higher      | 1.00              |      |                   |      |                   |      |
| Pre Leaving Cert               | 0.56 (0.38-0.82)* | 0.20 | 0.36 (0.25-0.53)* | 0.19 | 0.23 (0.16-0.32)* | 0.17 |
| <b>Religiosity</b>             |                   |      |                   |      |                   |      |
| Religion not<br>important      | 1.00              |      |                   |      |                   |      |
| Religion not<br>important      | 0.91 (0.64-1.12)  | 0.18 | 1.31 (0.93-1.85)  | 0.18 | 1.33 (0.98-1.80)  | 0.16 |

Note: Reference group: Respondents who had sex before age 17 years and did not use contraception (n = 181). RRR = Relative Risk Ratio. 95% CI = 95% confidence interval. \* indicates significance at the 0.05 level.

Table 3. Weighted logistic regression analysis explaining the association between receipt of school sex education and sexual health behaviours in adulthood; history of STI testing; contraception use over the past year and the experience of a crisis pregnancy

|                     |                                      | History of STI testing |      | Contraception use over the past year |      | Experience of a crisis pregnancy |      |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|------|--------------------------------------|------|----------------------------------|------|
|                     |                                      | OR<br>(95% CI)         | SE   | OR<br>(95% CI)                       | SE   | OR<br>(95% CI)                   | SE   |
| <b>Gender</b>       | Did not receive school sex education | 1.00                   |      |                                      |      |                                  |      |
|                     | Received school sex education        | 1.31 (1.08-1.61)*      | 0.10 | 1.09 (0.76-1.57)                     | 0.18 | 0.70 (0.55-0.87)*                | 0.12 |
|                     | Women                                | 1.00                   |      |                                      |      |                                  |      |
|                     | Men                                  | 0.63 (0.52-0.76)*      | 0.10 | 0.87 (0.61-1.24)                     | 0.18 | 0.35 (0.28-0.45)*                | 0.12 |
| <b>Social Class</b> | Social Class 1-2                     | 1.00                   |      |                                      |      |                                  |      |
|                     | Social Class 3-4                     | 0.65 (0.53-0.81)*      | 0.11 | 0.62 (0.40-0.94)*                    | 0.21 | 1.16 (0.88-1.52)                 | 0.14 |
|                     | Social Class 5-6                     | 0.57 (0.41-0.79)*      | 0.17 | 0.63 (0.35-1.15)                     | 0.30 | 1.29 (0.88-1.88)                 | 0.19 |
|                     | Social Class 7                       | 0.72 (0.55-0.94)*      | 0.14 | 0.65 (0.37-1.15)                     | 0.29 | 1.38 (0.98-1.93)                 | 0.17 |
|                     | <b>Age</b>                           |                        |      |                                      |      |                                  |      |

|                                |                   |      |                    |      |                   |      |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|------|--------------------|------|-------------------|------|
| 36-45 years                    | 1.00              |      |                    |      |                   |      |
| 26-35 years                    | 1.42 (1.12-1.79)* | 0.12 | 1.81 (1.19-2.74)*  | 0.21 | 0.98 (0.76-1.27)  | 0.13 |
| 18-25 years                    | 0.68 (0.50-0.92)* | 0.16 | 4.18 (1.73-10.12)* | 0.45 | 0.52 (0.34-0.80)* | 0.22 |
| <b>Relationship status</b>     |                   |      |                    |      |                   |      |
| Married                        | 1.00              |      |                    |      |                   |      |
| Single                         | 2.62 (2.06-3.33)* | 0.12 | 2.74 (1.55-4.84)*  | 0.29 | 0.62 (0.46-0.84)* | 0.16 |
| Cohabiting                     | 1.36 (0.98-1.89)  | 0.29 | 2.26 (1.12-4.56)*  | 0.36 | 0.99 (0.67-1.44)  | 0.19 |
| Separated/divorced/<br>widowed | 3.33 (2.01-5.40)* | 0.25 | 4.79(1.01-22.87)*  | 0.80 | 2.12 (1.29-3.46)* | 0.25 |
| <b>Education</b>               |                   |      |                    |      |                   |      |
| Leaving Cert or<br>higher      | 1.00              |      |                    |      |                   |      |
| Pre Leaving Cert               | 0.93 (0.72-1.20)  | 0.13 | 0.92 (0.60-1.42)   | 0.22 | 1.46 (1.10-1.94)* | 0.14 |
| <b>Religiosity</b>             |                   |      |                    |      |                   |      |
| Religion not<br>important      | 1.00              |      |                    |      |                   |      |
| Religion important             | 0.64 (0.53-0.77)* | 0.10 | 0.98 (0.68-1.40)   | 0.18 | 0.73 (0.58-0.92)* | 0.12 |

---

Note: OR = odds ratio. 95% CI = 95% confidence interval. \* indicates significance at the 0.05 level.