

SARS-CoV-2 detection, viral load and infectivity over the course of an infection.

AUTHOR(S)

Kieran A Walsh, Karen Jordan, Barbara Clyne, Daniela Rohde, Linda Drummond, Paula Byrne, Susannah Ahern, Paul G Carty, Kirsty K O'Brien, Eaomon O'Murchu, Michelle O'Neill, Susan Smith, Mairin Ryan, Patricia Harrington

CITATION

Walsh, Kieran A; Jordan, Karen; Clyne, Barbara; Rohde, Daniela; Drummond, Linda; Byrne, Paula; et al. (2020): SARS-CoV-2 detection, viral load and infectivity over the course of an infection.. Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland. Journal contribution. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/rcsi.13292126.v1

HANDLE

10779/rcsi.13292126.v1

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

This work is made available under the above open licence by RCSI and has been printed from https://repository.rcsi.com. For more information please contact repository@rcsi.com

URL

https://repository.rcsi.com/articles/journal_contribution/SARS-CoV-2_detection_viral_load_and_infectivity_over_the_course_of_an_infection_/13292126/1

Title: SARS-CoV-2 Detection, Viral Load and Infectivity over the Course of an Infection

Kieran A. Walsh, PhD;^{a+} Karen Jordan, BSc;^a Barbara Clyne, PhD;^{a,b} Daniela Rohde, PhD;^a Linda Drummond, PhD;^a Paula Byrne, PhD;^a Susan Ahern, MSc;^a Paul G. Carty, MSc;^a Kirsty K. O'Brien, PhD;^a Eamon O'Murchu, MB, BCh, BAO, MPH;^a Michelle O'Neill, MSc;^a Susan M. Smith, MD, MSc;^b Máirín Ryan, PhD;^{*a,c} Patricia Harrington, PhD.*^a

* Both authors are co-senior authors

^aHealth Information and Quality Authority, Smithfield, Dublin 7, Ireland

^bHealth Research Board Centre for Primary Care Research, Department of

General Practice, Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland, 123 St Stephens Green, Dublin 2,

Ireland

^c Department of Pharmacology & Therapeutics, Trinity College Dublin, Trinity Health Sciences, James Street, Dublin 8, Ireland.

Dr Kieran A Walsh. **Address:** Health Information and Quality Authority, Unit 1301, City Gate, Mahon, Cork, Ireland. T12 Y2XT; **Email:** kiwalsh@hiqa.ie; **Phone:** + 353 21 - 240 9300

⁺Corresponding author

Abstract

Objectives: To summarise the evidence on the detection pattern and viral load of SARSCoV-2 over the

course of an infection (including any asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic phase), and the duration of

infectivity.

Methods: A systematic literature search was undertaken in PubMed, Europe PubMed Central and

EMBASE from 30 December 2019 to 12 May 2020.

Results: We identified 113 studies conducted in 17 countries. The evidence from upper respiratory

tract samples suggests that the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 peaks around symptom onset or a few days

thereafter, and becomes undetectable about two weeks after symptom onset; however, viral loads

from sputum samples may be higher, peak later and persist for longer. There is evidence of prolonged

virus detection in stool samples, with unclear clinical significance.

No study was found that definitively measured the duration of infectivity; however, patients may not be

infectious for the entire duration of virus detection, as the presence of viral ribonucleic acid may not

represent transmissible live virus.

Conclusion: There is a relatively consistent trajectory of SARS-CoV-2 viral load over the course of

COVID-19 from respiratory tract samples, however the duration of infectivity remains uncertain.

Keywords: Coronavirus, COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, Viral load, Infectivity, RNA, review

Highlights

SARS-CoV-2 viral loads peak from upper respiratory tract samples around symptom onset

Viral loads from sputum samples may be higher than upper respiratory tract samples

- Viral loads appear to be similar between asymptomatic and symptomatic patients
- The prolonged virus detection in stool samples has unclear clinical significance
- Patients may not be infectious for the entire duration of virus detection

- 1 Title: SARS-CoV-2 Detection, Viral Load and Infectivity
- **over the Course of an Infection**

Introduction

5

6 The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic is a public health emergency of international concern causing a substantial number of cases and deaths globally. (1, 2) COVID-7 8 19 presents an unprecedented challenge to governments worldwide due to the 9 transmissibility of the virus, the scale of its impact on morbidity and mortality, the 10 uncertainty regarding the development of long-term immunity in those infected, the current 11 lack of vaccine or treatment options, and the impact on healthcare systems, economies and society. (3, 4) Much remains unknown about COVID-19; however, evidence is emerging at a 12 13 fast pace. (5) Our team at the Health Information and Quality Authority (HIQA) of Ireland has 14 conducted a series of rapid reviews on various public health topics relating to COVID-19. The 15 rapid reviews arose directly from questions posed by policy makers and expert clinicians 16 supporting the Irish National Public Health Emergency Team (NPHET). Hence, the findings of these reviews have informed the national response to the COVID-19 pandemic in Ireland, (6) 17 18 and have implications for international health policy as well as clinical and public health 19 guidance. 20 Understanding the trajectory of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-21 CoV-2), and the duration of infectivity is of critical importance to controlling the pandemic. (7) 22 As SARS-CoV-2 is a novel virus in the human population, there is substantial uncertainty 23 regarding virological levels (i.e. detection and viral load) in patients and how this relates to 24 infectivity and disease severity. Information relating to SARS-CoV-2 detection and viral load 25 at different time points of an infection, including in those without any symptoms, will aid 26 with the clinical interpretation of real-time reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction 27 (rRT-PCR) test results. Furthermore, information pertaining to the duration of infectivity will 28 help inform public health protocols for quarantine, isolation and contact tracing.

- We defined detection as the presence (i.e. detectability) or absence (i.e. undetectability) of the virus in a sample at a given time. We defined viral load as the quantity (or titre) of virus in a volume of fluid at a given time. For this current article, we summarise the available evidence to address the following two research questions:
 - 1. What is the detection pattern and viral load of SARS-CoV-2 over the course of an infection (including any asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic phase)? Patients who remain symptomless throughout the duration of disease are referred to as 'asymptomatic', and those who are in the early stages of disease, after transmission has occurred, but in whom symptoms have not yet developed are referred to as 'presymptomatic'.⁽⁸⁾
 - 2. What is the duration of infectivity of SARS-CoV-2? Duration of infectivity is defined as the time interval during which an infectious agent may be transferred from an infected person to another person.⁽⁸⁾

Materials and Methods

We conducted rapid reviews for a broad range of public health topics related to COVID-19 following a standardised protocol,⁽⁸⁾ in keeping with Cochrane rapid review methodology guidance.⁽⁹⁾ Initially, we conducted a systematic literature search of electronic databases (PubMed, EMBASE, Science Direct, Cochrane, National Health Service [NHS] Evidence, and Infectious Diseases Society of America search of infectious disease journals) and pre-print servers (medRxiv, bioRxiv and Health Research Board [HRB] Open) using COVID-19 search terms. The purpose of the initial broad search was to identify all COVID-19 scientific and medical literature to answer a range of research questions. Due to the proliferation of COVID-19 literature, the vast majority of which were not relevant to our research question, we employed a more specific search strategy from 27 March 2020 onwards. Hence, we conducted a systematic literature search of PubMed, Europe PubMed Central and EMBASE

from 30 December 2019. The search combined terms for COVID-19 with terms for viral load, detection and infectivity. Only articles including human subjects were included. No language restrictions were applied. The last update for this rapid review was conducted on 12 May 2020. The protocol, which is available online, contains the detailed search strategies. (8)

All potentially eligible papers, including non-peer-reviewed pre-prints, were exported to Endnote X8.2 and screened for relevance. Any study (regardless of design) that addressed the research question and met the inclusion criteria (Table 1) was included. For each included study, data on the study design, participant demographics and clinically relevant data were extracted. Various validated risk of bias tools were used for quality appraisal of included studies, where appropriate (e.g. Cochrane Risk of Bias (RoB) tool for Randomized Controlled Trials [RCTs](10) and Risk Of Bias In Non-randomized studies of Interventions tool (ROBINS-I)). (11) For study designs where no universally accepted quality appraisal tool existed (e.g. case series, modelling studies), a de-novo tool, adapted from related tools, was used. (8) The findings of the research question were synthesised narratively due to the heterogeneity of study designs and data.

Results

Summary of included studies

A total of 113 studies were included⁽¹²⁻¹²⁴⁾ (Table 2 and Appendix Table 1). Seventy-four studies were conducted in China. (12, 14-21, 26-28, 32, 35, 36, 39, 40, 52, 53, 56, 57, 59-62, 64, 66, 68, 70-72, 76-78, 80, 82, 84, 85, 89-94, 96, 97, 99-111, 113-125) Five studies each were conducted in Taiwan(22-24, 49, 58) and the United States (US). (13, 34, 44, 74, 75) Four studies each were conducted in Singapore(31, 42, 95, 112) and Italy, (46, 65, 67, 79) three studies each were conducted in Germany, (25, 41, 98) France(30, 45, 50) and Vietnam, (47, 48, 83) two studies each were conducted in Hong Kong, (87, 88) the United Kingdom (UK)^(33, 54) and South Korea, (43, 55) with one study conducted in each of the

following: Bangladesh, (38) Switzerland, (51) Thailand, (69) Japan, (73) Australia, (86) and Canada. (63) One hundred-and-eleven studies were observational in nature, (12-15, 17-29, 31-80, 82-125) one study was a randomised controlled trial (RCT) (16) and one was a non-randomised controlled trial (NRCT). (30) The majority of included observational studies (n=95) were case reports or Series. (12, 14, 15, 17-22, 24-29, 31, 33, 34, 36-39, 42-44, 47-70, 72-76, 78-80, 82-86, 88-90, 93-102, 104-119, 121, 123-125) The sample size of included studies ranged from one patient (26 case reports) (18, 24, 26, 31, 33, 34, 38, 39, 42, 47, 49, 55, 58, 61, 63, 65, 67, 70, 72-75, 83, 86, 94, 108) to 3,712 patients, (41) with a median sample size across all studies of 15 patients.

Viral load of SARS-CoV-2

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

Viral load in different sample sites

88 Fifty studies reported the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 over the course of the infection using 89 rRT-PCR testing.(13, 16, 20, 22, 25, 30-34, 37, 41-46, 48, 50, 51, 55, 58, 59, 61, 63, 64, 66, 68, 74, 76, 77, 79, 82, 83, 86-88, 90, 98, 90 102, 104, 106, 108, 112-114, 118, 120, 124, 125) In general, the highest viral loads from upper respiratory 91 tract samples were observed at the time of symptom onset and for a few days after 92 (generally within one week), with levels slowly decreasing over the next one to three weeks. 93 Some studies have observed clear differences between the viral loads detected in upper 94 respiratory tract and stool specimens. In general, viral loads from upper respiratory tract 95 samples were observed to peak within a week of symptom onset and followed a relatively 96 consistent downward trajectory, whereas viral loads from stool samples were found to peak 97 later in the disease (generally two to three weeks after symptom onset)(120) and followed a 98 more erratic pattern (Table 2).(21, 39, 42, 43, 53, 58, 60, 65, 80, 83-85, 91, 96, 98, 102, 106, 111, 116, 118-120) 99 Eight studies reported that viral ribonucleic acid (RNA) from sputum samples peaked at a later stage (generally two weeks after symptom onset)(58, 74, 91, 120) and contained higher viral 100

loads than upper respiratory tract samples.^(21, 58, 66, 113) Data on the differences in viral load dynamics between different upper respiratory sample sites are inconsistent, with some studies reporting higher viral loads in nasal samples,⁽¹²⁴⁾ and others reporting higher viral loads in throat samples.⁽¹¹³⁾

Association between disease severity and viral load

Nine studies reported an association between higher viral loads and more severe symptoms. (50, 59, 66, 77, 87, 113, 114, 120, 125) One of these studies (n=76 patients) found that the mean viral load of severe cases was around 60 times higher than that of mild cases (using nasopharyngeal samples), and this relationship was maintained from early to later stages of the infection. (59) Although another study (n=23 patients) found higher viral loads (about 10 times higher) in those with severe disease (using posterior oropharyngeal saliva or endotracheal aspirate) compared with mild disease, this relationship was not found to be statistically significant. (87)

Seven studies observed increases in viral loads prior to clinical deterioration (particularly those based on lower respiratory tract specimens) with decreases in viral load observed prior to improvement of symptoms. (43, 66, 98, 113, 114, 120, 125) One of these studies analysed sputum

Viral load in asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic patients

Seven studies measured viral load in pre-symptomatic or asymptomatic patients, and generally found little to no difference in viral load between pre-symptomatic, asymptomatic and symptomatic patients. $^{(13, 25, 30, 42, 46, 48, 90)}$ A study was conducted in the municipality of Vo in Italy, where rRT-PCR testing was undertaken in 85.9% (n=2,812) and 71.5% (n=2,343) of the total population (n=3,275) at two consecutive time points less than two weeks

samples from 92 patients collected at hospital admission, and found a significant positive

association between higher sputum viral load at baseline and risk of disease progression. (114)

apart. (46) At the first time point, 73 people (2.6%) tested positive and at the second time point, 29 (1.2%) tested positive. Notably, 43.2% (95% CI 32.2-54.7%) of the confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections detected across the two time points were asymptomatic. The authors found no statistically significant difference in the viral load between symptomatic and asymptomatic patient samples. (46) Arons et al. conducted a study in a nursing facility in Washington State, US, where residents in the facility were offered rRT-PCR testing on two separate occasions, seven days apart. Of the 76 residents tested, 48 (63%) tested positive. Of these 48 positive residents, 27 (56%) had no symptoms at the time of testing; 24 of these 27 patients (88%) subsequently developed symptoms (i.e. they were presymptomatic) and 3 (12%) remained asymptomatic. (13) The authors found that the viral loads were similar between asymptomatic, pre-symptomatic and symptomatic patients. Symptomatic patients were sub-divided into those displaying typical symptoms (i.e. fever, cough and shortness of breath) and those displaying atypical symptoms (i.e. chills, malaise, increased confusion, rhinorrhoea/nasal congestion, myalgia, dizziness, headache, nausea, and diarrhoea). The median cycle threshold (Ct) values for asymptomatic residents, presymptomatic residents, residents with atypical symptoms and residents with typical symptoms, were 25.5, 23.1, 24.2, and 24.8, respectively (note that lower Ct values infer higher viral loads. (13) A case report of a 6-month old noted no symptoms on admission to hospital, but a relatively high viral load (nasopharyngeal sample targeting ORF1ab-gene, peak viral load Ct value = 13.73). The viral load decreased over the next nine days, although it raised slightly when the child experienced a fever on day two of admission, before falling again once the fever resolved.(42)

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

Duration of SARS-CoV-2 detection

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

Duration of virus detection in different sample sites

Eighty-eight studies reported the duration of virus detection, with the end point being the first day of two consecutive negative tests taken 24 hours apart, using rRT-PCR. (12, 14, 15, 17-19, 21, 22, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 32, 33, 35-38, 43-50, 52-63, 65-76, 78, 79, 82, 83, 85-87, 89-94, 96-102, 105-107, 109-112, 115-123, 125) Additionally, two recent studies required three consecutive negative tests taken 24 hours apart prior to establishment of virus clearance. (28, 103) Of these 90 studies, 66 reported the duration of virus detection from onset of symptoms using upper respiratory tract SPECIMENS, (12, 14, 15, 17-19, 22, 24, 26, 27, 30, 32, 33, 38, 43, 46-50, 52, 54, 56, 58, 60-63, 65-70, 72, 73, 75, 76, 79, 82, 83, 85, 86, 91, 93, 94, 96-101, 106, 107, 110-112, 117-123) and ten reported the duration of virus detection from onset of symptoms using lower respiratory tract specimens.(22, 24, 43, 44, 55, 58, 66, 91, 98, 103) The longest duration observed was 83 days in one patient from upper respiratory tract samples. (52) At the aggregate study-level, the median duration of virus detection from symptom onset using upper respiratory tract samples was 14.5 days (range of study-level medians: 1-53.5 days). (52, ⁷⁵⁾ In lower respiratory tract samples, the median duration of virus detection from symptom onset at the aggregate study-level was 15.5 days (range of study-level medians: 10-44 days). (58, 66) Four studies reported that viral RNA in lower respiratory tract samples may persist for longer periods than upper respiratory tract samples. (37, 58, 91, 120) Thirty-two studies, reported detectable levels of viral RNA in stool samples for a prolonged period of time (often greater than three to four weeks after symptom onset), (12, 15, 21, 24, 26, 34, 37, 39, 42, 44, 50, 53, 56, 58, 60, 62, 65, 80, 83-85, 91, 96, 98, 99, 102, 106, 111, 116, 118-120) and possibly longer in children.(15, 26, 39, 42, 62, 80, 84, 85, 102, 106, 119) However, there are concerns regarding truncated data for the duration of virus detection in stool samples, as the data appear to reflect the maximum duration of follow-up, rather than the true duration of virus detection. (21, 24, 26, 37, 44,

In general, studies that tested blood samples in populations with mild-to-moderate severity disease did not detect viral RNA or reported weakly positive or inconsistent results. (14, 33, 42, 43, 56, 58, 84, 85, 87, 98, 112) Other sample sites such as urine, (14, 21, 24, 27, 33, 34, 42-44, 50, 53, 56, 65-67, 83, 86, 87, 98, 108, 112, 113, 118, 120) conjunctival fluid (50, 65) and semen(65, 67) were used less frequently and produced inconsistent findings.

Association between duration of virus detection and severity of disease or older age

There are inconsistent findings for the association between disease severity (and/or ICU admission), and the duration of virus detection, with studies reporting either a positive association, (12, 15, 19, 21, 27, 28, 36, 50, 59, 76, 113, 120, 125) or no association. (60, 71, 87, 107, 111, 121) There are also inconsistent findings for the association between older age (generally defined as >65 years) and the duration of virus detection, with studies reporting either a positive (12, 15, 35, 50, 100, 107, 120) or no association. (121) Four studies observed that detection of viral RNA in blood samples was associated with severe disease, (20, 25, 44, 50) however, one case report of a patient with severe pneumonia did not detect viral RNA in the blood. (34)

Duration of virus detection in asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic patients

Eight studies measured the duration of virus detection in asymptomatic or pre-symptomatic patients $^{(36, 48, 60, 71, 85, 90, 105, 111)}$ with estimates found to vary widely. One study included 24 cases with asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic COVID-19 infections screened from close contacts. $^{(36)}$ The estimated median duration from the first positive test to the first of two consecutive negative tests was 9.5 days (range: 1-21 days). The authors reported that the virus was detected for a longer period of time in those who subsequently developed symptoms (pre-symptomatic: n=5, median 12 days) compared with those who remained

asymptomatic (n=19, median 6 days). Of the five pre-symptomatic cases, the earliest positive rRT-PCR test occurred two days before symptom onset (n=1). Two of the five presymptomatic cases had previously tested negative seven and eight days prior to first symptoms, respectively (but after suspected exposure). (36) A case series conducted by Xu et al. investigated the epidemiological and clinical features of 15 asymptomatic hospitalised COVID-19 patients in China. (105) All 15 patients remained asymptomatic for the duration of hospitalisation (median: 11 days). The authors reported a median time of 7 days (IQR 4-9 days) from the first positive test to the first of two consecutive negative tests. In a study by Yongchen et al., five asymptomatic patients had a longer median duration of virus detection (18 days) compared with five patients with severe disease (14 days) and 11 patients with non-severe, but symptomatic disease (10 days).(111) Other case series reported detection of virus in hospitalised asymptomatic adults ranging from 7 to 23 days (48, 60, 90). In terms of paediatric cases, a study involving 36 children (age range: 1-16 years) reported 10 cases (28%) who remained asymptomatic for the duration of hospitalisation (ranging from 10 to 20 days) and for a further two weeks of post-discharge quarantine. (71) Though individual rRT-PCR results were not provided for each of these 10 cases, for one of these asymptomatic cases, it took 10 days to become rRT-PCR-negative. (71) In a case series study by Tan et al., one asymptomatic child had detectable virus for 17 days. (85)

Duration of SARS-CoV-2 infectivity

Virus culture studies

No study was found that definitively measured the duration of infectivity. Four studies were found that correlated serial rRT-PCR test results with virus cultures. (13, 45, 58, 98) Arons et al. conducted virus culture in 46 of the 48 residents of a nursing facility in the US who tested

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

positive for SARS-CoV-2.(13) Positive culture growth was recorded in 31 (67.4%) of these upper respiratory tract samples. Viable virus was isolated from asymptomatic, presymptomatic and symptomatic residents. The lowest viral load (Ct value) for which there was positive culture growth was 34.3. Viable virus was isolated from specimens collected between six days before, to nine days after, the first evidence of typical symptoms. When atypical symptoms are also considered, viable virus was isolated from samples collected six days before to 13 days after first evidence of any symptoms. However, as samples were only collected up to a maximum of 13 days after symptom onset, it is not known if samples collected at later dates would have resulted in positive culture growth. Woelfel et al. found that no infectious isolates were obtained from any sample (n=9 patients) taken after day eight of symptom onset in spite of ongoing high viral loads. The authors suggested that early discharge followed by home isolation could be chosen for patients with less than 10⁵ RNA copies per ml of sputum who are beyond day 10 of symptom onset. (98) The detection of infectious isolates was noted to differ by sample site, being readily isolated from throat and lung-derived samples, but not stool samples. This was despite prolonged detection of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA in stool samples. (98) A study by La Scola et al. conducted serial rRT-PCR testing and virus culture of 183 nasopharyngeal samples from 155 patients. (45) They found that the virus could not be isolated from samples collected after day eight of symptom onset, in spite of ongoing high viral loads of approximately 10⁵ RNA copies/mL of sample. Additionally, they found that positive culture growth decreased progressively according to the viral load. No culture was obtained from samples with Ct values ≥34 targeting the E gene. The authors inferred that patients with Ct values ≥34 were no longer contagious and could be considered suitable for discharge.(45)

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

Liu et al. reported virus isolation in cell cultures from throat swabs collected upon admission, and from all sputum specimens collected within 18 days of symptom onset in a 50-year old woman in Taiwan. SARS-CoV-2 continued to be detectable from sputum samples using rRTPCR for 62 days from symptom onset. (58) However there is very limited information relating to the virus culture results reported in this study, hence these findings should be interpreted with caution. (58)

Epidemiological and modelling data

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

Five studies that used epidemiological (n=3) or modelling (n=2) approaches to address the duration of infectivity were found. (23, 32, 40, 74, 95) A prospective case-ascertained study found that all 22 secondary cases, identified from 2,761 close contacts of 100 index cases, had their first day of exposure within five days of the index case's symptom onset and up to five days before symptom onset, suggesting high transmissibility near, or even before symptom onset. No contacts were infected when first exposure occurred five days after the index case's symptom onset. (23) A study conducted in Singapore evaluating seven clusters of COVID-19 found that pre-symptomatic transmission likely occurred between 1-3 days before symptom onset in the pre-symptomatic source patient in four of these clusters. (95) An epidemiological investigation of an individual with mild disease in the US, found no onward transmission to 16 close contacts (defined as persons exposed to the case, from one day before diagnosis) including one intimate partner. (74) One modelling study based primarily on epidemiological data estimated that 44% of transmission could occur before first symptoms present (starting from 2.3 days before symptom onset [95% CI, 3.0 to 0.8 days before symptom onset] and reaching its peak at 0.7 days before symptom onset [95% CI, 2.0 days before to 0.2 days after symptom onset]). The authors also estimated that infectivity declines relatively quickly within seven days of symptom onset. (32) A modelling study conducted in Guangzhou, China applied a

statistical transmission model to contact-tracing data of 349 lab-confirmed COVID-19 cases in that region.⁽⁴⁰⁾ The authors found that a mean incubation period of four days and a maximum infectious period (including the incubation period) of 13 days provided the best fit of the observed data. The model suggested that COVID-19 cases were at least as infectious during their incubation period as from symptom onset.⁽⁴⁰⁾

Differences between adults and children

Thirty-six studies included children (18 years or younger) either exclusively, (14, 26, 39, 42, 47, 51, 274 275 71, 84, 85, 102, 106, 119) or in combination with adults.(12, 17, 23, 30, 36, 37, 40, 41, 46, 48, 56, 60, 62, 68, 77, 78, 80, 92, 93, 105, 109, 111, 113, 115) No discernible differences with regards to viral load or duration of virus 276 277 detection were apparent between adults and children. Two included studies compared findings between children and adults, either directly (11) or indirectly (through reference to 278 published findings).(51) 279 280 L'Huillier et al. conducted rRT-PCR testing and virus culture in 23 symptomatic children (age 281 range, 7 days - 15.9 years). (51) The median viral load at time of diagnosis was 3 x 106 copies/ml (IQR 6.9 x 10³ - 4.4 x 10⁸ copies/ml), which the authors comment, is comparable 282 283 to peak viral load levels typically reported in adults in the literature. Virus isolation was 284 successful in 12/23 (52%) of the children. The youngest patient that SARS-CoV-2 was 285 successfully isolated from was a seven-day old neonate. The authors concluded that 286 infectious virus isolation success was largely comparable to that of adults, and two samples 287 yielded an isolate at a lower viral load (1.2 x 10⁴ and 1.4 x 10⁵ copies/ml) than is typically reported in adults in the literature. (51) Another study by Jones et al. analysed viral loads from 288 289 3,712 patients (of all ages) with confirmed COVID-19 identified from routine testing at a 290 laboratory testing centre in Germany. (41) The authors found no significant differences in viral 291 load across age groups, although the relative sample size of children aged ten years or 292 younger (n=49, 1.3%) was small compared with older age groups. (41) There has been

268

269

270

271

272

criticism of the statistical analysis undertaken in the study by Jones at al.,⁽¹²⁶⁾ with a secondary re-analysis of these data suggesting there is moderate, but not overwhelming evidence for increasing viral load with increasing age based on a test for trend. The commentator also points to the unbalanced sample sizes between children and adults, and suggests that the study is inconclusive.⁽¹²⁶⁾ Hence, caution is warranted when interpreting the findings by Jones et al.⁽⁴¹⁾

Methodological quality of studies

Overall, the studies were of low-to-moderate quality. Given that the majority of the included studies (n=95 studies, 84.1%) comprised case series and case reports, the findings should be viewed with caution and will require confirmation using larger more robust study designs. There are also concerns relating to the pre-print status of 17 studies (15%), which had not been peer-reviewed at time of writing.(12, 28, 37, 40, 41, 46, 51, 75, 79, 83, 89, 91, 92, 94, 109, 115, 118)

As the majority of included studies (n=74 studies, 65.5%) were conducted in China, the findings may not be generalisable to other populations given differences in demographics and healthcare practices. Furthermore, given the volume of studies published from China, particularly those comprising single case reports and small case series at the early stages of the pandemic, there is a strong possibility of overlapping data with later publications of larger studies.

Discussion

The evidence to date suggest that the viral load in respiratory tract samples peaks around symptom onset and decreases within one to three weeks. Although the duration of detection and the size of the viral load differs between patients, viral RNA generally becomes undetectable (from upper respiratory tract specimens) about two weeks after symptom onset (median 14.5 days). For lower respiratory tract samples, there is conflicting evidence

regarding the timing of peak viral loads and duration of virus detection, with some evidence suggesting that the peak occurs later and the duration of detection is longer compared with upper respiratory tract samples (median 15.5 days). (37, 74, 113, 120) However, it is unclear whether the lower respiratory tract findings are influenced by the fact that not all COVID-19 patients experience productive coughs (particularly those without symptoms), (127) and hence certain patients are unable to have their lower respiratory tract sampled (without induction which is not recommended for safety reasons). (128) Viral shedding in stool samples is prolonged and sometimes erratic. The clinical significance of virus detection in stool samples is unclear as there was no evidence of successful virus isolation from stool samples in any of the 113 studies included in this review. However, a study published on 18 May 2020 has reported the successful isolation of SARS-CoV-2 virus from stool samples in two of the three patients tested. (129) Hence, it is possible that faecaloral transmission may occur. Moreover, a systematic review and meta-analysis published by Parasa et al. found that SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in the stool samples of 41% of patients, and that 12% of all COVID-19 patients reported gastrointestinal symptoms. (130) The authors similarly concluded that faecal-oral transmission is possible and warrants ongoing monitoring of the evidence. The relationship between SARS-CoV-2 detection, viral load and infectivity is not fully understood, as the presence of viral RNA may not represent transmissible live virus. There is evidence that COVID-19 patients are infectious from one to three days before symptom onset, although viable virus has been successfully isolated from upper respiratory tract samples up to six days before onset of symptoms. (13) Two separate epidemiological investigations concluded that there was high transmissibility near, and even before symptom onset. (23, 95) Furthermore, no statistically significant difference in the viral load between symptomatic and asymptomatic patient samples was found in two included studies. (13, 46) The evidence regarding pre-symptomatic and asymptomatic transmission has been reported

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

separately by our research group. (131) Based on the totality of the evidence, it was concluded that pre-symptomatic transmission is likely occurring. A secondary analysis of published data by Casey et al. estimated the proportion of pre-symptomatic transmission to be approximately 56%. (132) Evidence of transmission in asymptomatic patients is, however, more limited (perhaps due to difficulties in identifying truly asymptomatic cases, as it would appear that a large proportion are actually pre-symptomatic). (46) While asymptomatic transmission is plausible, it may not be a driver of overall transmission. (131) Important questions remain regarding the timing and duration of infectivity in asymptomatic patients. In symptomatic patients, there is evidence of a reduction in infectivity 7-10 days after onset of symptoms. Two virus culture studies obtained no infectious isolates from any sample taken eight days after symptom onset in spite of ongoing high viral loads. (45, 98) One of these studies found that patients with Ct values ≥34 were no longer contagious. (45) These findings appear to support early epidemiological and modelling studies, (23, 32, 40) with one study suggesting that transmission may be limited to five days after symptom onset. (23) The findings of this review appear to broadly support the recommendation by the World Health Organization (WHO) to discontinue transmission-based precautions, including isolation, and release a patient from COVID-19 care pathways, if it has been 10 days since symptom onset and the patient has been symptom-free for at least three days (or 10 days after first testing positive if asymptomatic). (133) The duration of infectivity, however, remains uncertain as two recent studies have reported isolation of viable virus from upper and lower respiratory samples 13 days (maximum follow-up)⁽¹³⁾ and 18 days⁽⁵⁸⁾ respectively after symptom onset. Therefore, clinicians should be careful before discontinuing transmission-based precautions for all COVID-19 patients at 10 days post symptom onset, even if symptom-free for three days.(133)

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

A limited number of studies that compared findings between children and adults report no differences in terms of viral load and duration of virus detection. However, there are concerns regarding the statistical analysis undertaken in the largest of these studies, with reanalysis suggesting a non-significant trend between increasing age and increasing viral load. (41, 126) Even if children have comparable viral loads to adults, (41, 51) the relationship between viral load and infectivity is not well understood as viral load is a proxy measurement of infectivity and may not translate to transmissibility. In our companion rapid review examining the role of children in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2, we concluded that, based on the limited number of studies to-date, children do not appear to contribute substantially to the spread of the virus. (134) Children have generally been under-represented in COVID-19 studies to-date, although this may be a function of testing practices which have typically prioritised those with more severe symptoms, healthcare workers and those residing in long term care settings. Given reports of milder symptoms in children, they would be less likely to be tested and diagnosed. (135) The reduced severity of symptoms as a potential explanation for this under-representation of children in COVID-19 studies appears to be supported by provisional results from the UK Office of National Statistics based on home, self-sampling of nasopharyngeal swabs of over 10,000 individuals. (136) This study found no evidence of differences between age groups in the proportions of those testing positive in the community (excluding infections reported in hospitals, care homes or other institutional settings). This would suggest that symptomatic children are potentially as likely to test positive as other age groups. (136) There is still, however, substantial uncertainty as to how children become infected, how the virus manifests in children and how it transmits from children to others. The early peak of viral load in COVID-19 patients, and the detection of virus in asymptomatic and pre-symptomatic patients underlines the critical importance of ongoing

widespread public health and social measures and the rapid detection, diagnosis, isolation

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

and contact tracing of suspected COVID-19 cases. (137) In particular, the evidence suggests that due to the potentially high viral load in the early stages of the infection, often prior to symptom onset, contact tracing should include a period of at least 48 hours prior to symptom onset in the index case. (137, 138) Our review highlights a key virological difference between the current SARS-CoV-2 virus and the SARS-CoV-1 virus that caused severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2002/2003. That is, SARS-CoV-1 viral load peaked later in the disease trajectory (usually seven to 10 days after symptom onset); (139, 140) hence, different public health strategies were more successful in containing this infection. However, recent findings of later viral load peaking and prolonged virus detection from lower respiratory tract samples of SARS-CoV-2, as well as evidence of virus isolation from stool samples, warrants further investigation as these findings may have important public health implications. (37, 120, 129)

This review summarises the evidence relating to the detection, viral load and infectivity of SARS-CoV-2 over the course of an infection, and provides important information to support the clinical interpretation of rRT-PCR test results, and to inform public health and social measures in the context of COVID-19. Further research examining the relationship between viral load and infectivity, particularly in children, is required, as this knowledge is key to informing public health policy. A recently published review by Byrne et al. reported similar challenges in determining the period of infectivity due to substantial variations in how this is estimated in the literature. (141) Research that combines virological and epidemiological data, using robust study designs and larger patient numbers, is required to determine the true duration of infectivity.

Conclusion

The evidence suggests that the viral load of SARS-CoV-2 peaks from upper respiratory tract samples around the time of symptom onset or a few days after, and becomes undetectable

within about two weeks. However, some studies report that for lower respiratory tract samples, this peak may occur at a slightly later stage and that the virus may persist for longer. Viral load in stool samples tend to peak at a later stage and follow a more erratic pattern, however the clinical significance of this finding is uncertain. There is some evidence that patients may not be infectious for the entire period that they are SARS-CoV-2 positive

and that infectivity may be related to the viral load and time since symptom onset. Further research is required to establish the duration of infectivity of SARS-CoV-2, which is key to informing public health policy in managing the pandemic.

Acknowledgments

- 430 The authors would like to thank Executive Assistant Debra Spillane (HIQA) and Information
- 431 Specialist Paul Murphy (RCSI), and acknowledge the support of the Health Technology
- 432 Assessment and Health Information and Standards Directorates at HIQA.

433 Funding Statement

- This research was funded in part by the Health Research Board under grant no. HRB-CICER-
- 435 2016-1871.

References

- 1. Dong E, Du H, Gardner L. An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020.
- Sohrabi C, Alsafi Z, O'Neill N, Khan M, Kerwan A, Al-Jabir A, et al. World Health Organization declares global emergency: A review of the 2019 novel coronavirus (COVID-19). International Journal of Surgery. 2020;76:71-6.
- 442 3. Fauci AS, Lane HC, Redfield RR. Covid-19 Navigating the Uncharted. New England 443 Journal of Medicine. 2020;382(13):1268-9.
- 444 4. Spinelli A, Pellino G. COVID-19 pandemic: perspectives on an unfolding crisis. Br J 445 Surg. 2020.
- Lorenc T, Khouja C, Raine G, Sutcliffe K, Wright K, Sowden A, et al. COVID-19: living
 map of the evidence. London: EPPI-Centre, Social Science Research Unit, UCL
 Institute of Education, University College London: 2020.
- HIQA publishes COVID-19 evidence summaries to support work of the National
 Public Health Emergency Team [press release]. https://www.hiqa.ie/hiqa-newsupdates/hiqa-publishes-covid-19-evidence-summaries-support-work-national-publichealth: HIQA, 1 April 2020.
- 453 7. Bedford J, Enria D, Giesecke J, Heymann DL, Ihekweazu C, Kobinger G, et al. 454 COVID-19: towards controlling of a pandemic. The Lancet. 2020;395(10229):1015-8.
- 455 8. Health Information and Quality Authority. Protocol for evidence synthesis support 456 COVID-19. https://www.hiqa.ie/sites/default/files/2020-04/Protocol-for-evidencesynthesis-support_1-4-COVID-19.pdf: HIQA, 2020.
- Garritty C, Gartlehner G, Kamel C, King VJ, Nussbaumer-Streit B, Stevens A, et al.
 Interim Guidance from the Cochrane Rapid Reviews Methods Group. March 2020.
 Cochrane Rapid Reviews. 2020.
- Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Jüni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The
 Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ
 (Clinical research ed). 2011;343:d5928.
- Sterne JA, Hernán MA, Reeves BC, Savović J, Berkman ND, Viswanathan M, et al. ROBINS-I: a tool for assessing risk of bias in non-randomised studies of interventions. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 2016;355:i4919.
- 467 12. An J, Liao X, Xiao T, Qian S, Yuan J, Ye H, et al. Clinical characteristics of the recovered COVID-19 patients with re-detectable positive RNA test. medRxiv. 2020:2020.03.26.20044222.
- 470 13. Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, Kimball A, James A, Jacobs JR, et al.
 471 Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections and Transmission in a Skilled Nursing
 472 Facility. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020.
- 473 14. Cai J, Xu J, Lin D, Yang z, Xu L, Qu Z, et al. A Case Series of children with 2019 474 novel coronavirus infection: clinical and epidemiological features. Clinical Infectious 475 Diseases. 2020.
- 476 15. Cai Q, Huang D, Ou P, Yu H, Zhu Z, Xia Z, et al. COVID-19 in a Designated Infectious Diseases Hospital Outside Hubei Province, China. Allergy. 2020.
- 478 16. Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, Liu W, Wang J, Fan G, et al. A Trial of Lopinavir—Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with Severe Covid-19. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020.
- 480 17. Chang, Mo G, Yuan X, Tao Y, Peng X, Wang F, et al. Time Kinetics of Viral Clearance 481 and Resolution of Symptoms in Novel Coronavirus Infection. American journal of 482 respiratory and critical care medicine. 2020.

- 483 18. Chen D, Xu W, Lei Z, Huang Z, Liu J, Gao Z, et al. Recurrence of positive SARS-CoV2 RNA in COVID-19: A case report. International Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 485 19. Chen J, Qi T, Liu L, Ling Y, Qian Z, Li T, et al. Clinical progression of patients with COVID-19 in Shanghai, China. Journal of Infection. 2020.
- Chen W, Lan Y, Yuan X, Deng X, Li Y, Cai X, et al. Detectable 2019-nCoV viral RNA in blood is a strong indicator for the further clinical severity. Emerging microbes & infections. 2020;9(1):469-73.
- 490 21. Chen Y, Chen L, Deng Q, Zhang G, Wu K, Ni L, et al. The presence of SARS-CoV-2 491 RNA in the feces of COVID-19 patients. Journal of medical virology. 2020.
- 492 22. Cheng CY, Lee YL, Chen CP, Lin YC, Liu CE, Liao CH, et al. Lopinavir/ritonavir did not 493 shorten the duration of SARS CoV-2 shedding in patients with mild pneumonia in 494 Taiwan. Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection. 2020.
- Cheng H-Y, Jian S-W, Liu D-P, Ng T-C, Huang W-T, Lin H-H. Contact Tracing
 Assessment of COVID-19 Transmission Dynamics in Taiwan and Risk at Different
 Exposure Periods Before and After Symptom Onset. JAMA Intern Med.
 2020; Published online May 01, 2020. .
- 24. Cheng S-C, Chang Y-C, Fan Chiang Y-L, Chien Y-C, Cheng M, Yang C-H, et al. First case of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pneumonia in Taiwan. Journal of the Formosan Medical Association. 2020;119(3):747-51.
- 502 25. Corman VM, Rabenau HF, Adams O, Oberle D, Funk MB, Keller-Stanislawski B, et al. SARS-CoV-2 asymptomatic and symptomatic patients and risk for transfusion transmission. Transfusion. 2020.
- 505 26. Fan Q, Pan Y, Wu Q, Liu S, Song X, Xie Z, et al. Anal swab findings in an infant with COVID-19. Pediatric Investigation. 2020;4(1):48-50.
- 507 27. Fang Z, Zhang Y, Hang C, Zhang W, Ai J, Li S. Comparisons of nucleic acid 508 conversion time of SARS-CoV-2 of different samples in ICU and non-ICU patients. 509 Journal of Infection. 2020.
- 510 28. Fu S, Fu X, Song Y, Li M, Pan P-h, Tang T, et al. Virologic and clinical characteristics 511 for prognosis of severe COVID-19: a retrospective observational study in Wuhan, 512 China. medRxiv; 2020.
- 513 29. Gao J, Liu JQ, Wen HJ, Liu H, Hu WD, Han X, et al. The unsynchronized changes of CT image and nucleic acid detection in COVID-19: reports the two cases from Gansu, China. Respiratory research. 2020;21(1):96.
- 516 30. Gautret P, Lagier JC, Parola P, Hoang VT, Meddeb L, Mailhe M, et al.
- Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. International journal of antimicrobial agents. 2020:105949.
- 520 31. Goh KJ, Choong MC, Cheong EH, Kalimuddin S, Duu Wen S, Phua GC, et al. Rapid 521 Progression to Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Review of Current
- 522 Understanding of Critical Illness from COVID-19 Infection. Annals of the Academy of Medicine, Singapore. 2020;49(1):1-9.
- He X, Lau EH, Wu P, Deng X, Wang J, Hao X, et al. Temporal dynamics in viral shedding and transmissibility of COVID-19. Nat Med. 2020.
- 526 33. Hill DKJ, Russell DCD, Clifford DS, Templeton DK, Mackintosh DCL, Koch DO, et al.
 527 The index case of SARS-CoV-2 in Scotland: a case report. Journal of Infection. 2020.
- 528 34. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, Lofy KH, Wiesman J, Bruce H, et al. First Case of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in the United States. The New England journal of medicine. 2020;382(10):929-36.

- Hu X, Xing Y, Jia J, Ni W, Liang J, Zhao D, et al. Factors associated with negative 531 35. 532 conversion of viral RNA in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. Science of the Total 533 Environment. 2020;728.
- 534 Hu Z, Song C, Xu C, Jin G, Chen Y, Xu X, et al. Clinical characteristics of 24 36. 535 asymptomatic infections with COVID-19 screened among close contacts in Nanjing, 536 China. Science China Life Sciences. 2020.
- 537 37. Huang J, Mao T, Li S, Wu L, Xu X, Li H, et al. Long period dynamics of viral load and 538 antibodies for SARS-CoV-2 infection: an observational cohort study. medRxiv. 2020.
- 38. 539 Jahan Y, Rahman S, Rahman A. COVID-19: A case report from Bangladesh 540 perspective. Respiratory Medicine Case Reports. 2020;30.
- Jiang X, Luo M, Zou Z, Wang X, Chen C, Qiu J. Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infected 541 39. 542 case with viral detection positive in stool but negative in nasopharyngeal samples 543 lasts for 42 days. Journal of medical virology. 2020.
- 544 40. Jing Q-L, Liu M-J, Yuan J, Zhang Z-B, Zhang A-R, Dean N, et al. Household 545 Secondary Attack Rate of COVID-19 and Associated Determinants. medRxiv; 2020. 546 Jones TC, Mühlemann B, Veith T, Zuchowski M, Hofmann J, Stein A, et al. An
- 547 analysis of SARS-CoV-2 viral load by patient age. 548 https://zoonosen.charite.de/fileadmin/user_upload/microsites/m_cc05/virologieccm/d 549 ateien_upload/Weitere_Dateien/analysis-of-SARS-CoV-2-viral-load-by-patientage.pdf: 550 2020.
- 551 42. Kam K-q, Yung CF, Cui L, Lin Tzer Pin R, Mak TM, Maiwald M, et al. A Well Infant 552 with Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) with High Viral Load. Clinical Infectious 553 Diseases. 2020.
- 554 43. Kim JY, Ko JH, Kim Y, Kim YJ, Kim JM, Chung YS, et al. Viral Load Kinetics of 555 SARSCoV-2 Infection in First Two Patients in Korea. Journal of Korean medical 556 science. 2020;35(7):e86.
- 557 44. Kujawski SA, Wong KK, Collins JP, Epstein L, Killerby ME, Midgley CM, et al. Clinical 558 and virologic characteristics of the first 12 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 559 (COVID-19) in the United States. Nat Med. 2020.
- 560 45. La Scola B, Le Bideau M, Andreani J, Hoang VT, Grimaldier C, Colson P, et al. Viral 561 RNA load as determined by cell culture as a management tool for discharge of 562 SARSCoV-2 patients from infectious disease wards. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 563 2020:13.
- 564 Lavezzo E, Franchin E, Ciavarella C, Cuomo-Dannenburg G, Barzon L, Del Vecchio C, 46. 565 et al. Suppression of COVID-19 outbreak in the municipality of Vo, Italy. medRxiv; 566 2020.
- 567 47. Le HT, Nguyen LV, Tran DM, Do HT, Tran HT, Le YT, et al. The first infant case of 568 COVID-19 acquired from a secondary transmission in Vietnam. The Lancet Child & 569 Adolescent Health. 2020.
- 570 48. Le TQM, Takemura T, Moi ML, Nabeshima T, Nguyen LKH, Hoang VMP, et al. Severe 571 Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Shedding by Travelers, Vietnam, 2020. 572 Emerging infectious diseases. 2020;26(7).
- 573 49. Lee N-Y, Li C-W, Tsai H-P, Chen P-L, Syue L-S, Li M-C, et al. A case of COVID-19 and 574 pneumonia returning from Macau in Taiwan: clinical course and anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG 575 dynamic. Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection. 2020.
- 576 50. Lescure F-X, Bouadma L, Nguyen D, Parisey M, Wicky P-H, Behillil S, et al. Clinical 577 and virological data of the first cases of COVID-19 in Europe: a case series. The 578 Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- L'Huillier A, Torriani G, Pigny F, Kaiser L, Eckerle I. Shedding of infectious SARS-CoV2 579 51. 580 in symptomatic neonates, children and adolescents. medRxiv; 2020.

41.

- 581 52. Li N, Wang X, Lv T. Prolonged SARS-CoV-2 RNA shedding: Not a rare phenomenon.
 582 Journal of medical virology. 2020.
- 53. Li Y, Hu Y, Yu Y, Zhang X, Li B, Wu J, et al. Positive result of Sars-Cov-2 in faeces and sputum from discharged patient with COVID-19 in Yiwu, China. Journal of medical virology. 2020.
- 586 54. Lillie PJ, Samson A, Li A, Adams K, Capstick R, Barlow GD, et al. Novel coronavirus disease (Covid-19): The first two patients in the UK with person to person transmission. The Journal of infection. 2020;80(5):578-606.
- 55. Lim J, Jeon S, Shin HY, Kim MJ, Seong YM, Lee WJ, et al. Case of the Index Patient
 Who Caused Tertiary Transmission of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Korea: the
 Application of Lopinavir/Ritonavir for the Treatment of COVID-19 Pneumonia
 Monitored by Quantitative RT-PCR. Journal of Korean medical science.
 2020;35(6):79.
- 56. Ling Y, Xu S-B, Lin Y-X, Tian D, Zhu Z-Q, Dai F-H, et al. Persistence and clearance of viral RNA in 2019 novel coronavirus disease rehabilitation patients. Chinese Medical Journal. 2020; Publish Ahead of Print.
- 597 57. Liu F, Xu A, Zhang Y, Xuan W, Yan T, Pan K, et al. Patients of COVID-19 may benefit 598 from sustained lopinavir-combined regimen and the increase of eosinophil may 599 predict the outcome of COVID-19 progression. International Journal of Infectious 600 Diseases. 2020.
- 58. Liu WD, Chang SY, Wang JT, Tsai MJ, Hung CC, Hsu CL, et al. Prolonged virus
 shedding even after seroconversion in a patient with COVID-19. Journal of Infection.
 2020.
- 59. Liu Y, Yan L-M, Wan L, Xiang T-X, Le A, Liu J-M, et al. Viral dynamics in mild and severe cases of COVID-19. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 606 60. Lo IL, Lio CF, Cheong HH, Lei CI, Cheong TH, Zhong X, et al. Evaluation of SARSCoV-2 RNA shedding in clinical specimens and clinical characteristics of 10 patients with COVID-19 in Macau. International journal of biological sciences. 2020;16(10):1698-707.
- 610 61. Lv D-f, Ying Q-m, Weng Y-s, Shen C-b, Chu J-g, Kong J-p, et al. Dynamic change 611 process of target genes by RT-PCR testing of SARS-Cov-2 during the course of a 612 Coronavirus Disease 2019 patient. Clinica Chimica Acta. 2020.
- 613 62. Ma X, Su L, Zhang Y, Zhang X, Gai Z, Zhang Z. Do children need a longer time to shed SARS-CoV-2 in stool than adults? Journal of Microbiology, Immunology and Infection. 2020.
- 616 63. Marchand-Senécal X, Kozak R, Mubareka S, Salt N, Gubbay JB, Eshaghi A, et al.
 617 Diagnosis and Management of First Case of COVID-19 in Canada: Lessons applied
 618 from SARS. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 619 64. Meng J, Xiao G, Zhang J, He X, Ou M, Bi J, et al. Renin-angiotensin system inhibitors 620 improve the clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients with hypertension. Emerging 621 microbes & infections. 2020;9(1):757-60.
- 622 65. Nicastri E, D'Abramo A, Faggioni G, De Santis R, Mariano A, Lepore L, et al.
 623 Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in a paucisymptomatic patient: epidemiological and
 624 clinical challenge in settings with limited community transmission, Italy, February
 625 2020. Euro surveillance: bulletin Europeen sur les maladies transmissibles =
 626 European communicable disease bulletin. 2020;25(11).
- 627 66. Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, Poon LLM, Wang Q. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020.

- 629 67. Paoli D, Pallotti F, Colangelo S, Basilico F, Mazzuti L, Turriziani O, et al. Study of SARS-CoV-2 in semen and urine samples of a volunteer with positive nasopharyngeal swab. Journal of Endocrinological Investigation. 2020.
- 632 68. Peng Z, Wang J, Mo Y, Duan W, Xiang G, Yi M, et al. Unlikely SARS-CoV-2 vertical 633 transmission from mother to child: A case report. Journal of Infection and Public 634 Health. 2020.
- 635 69. Pongpirul WA, Mott JA, Woodring JV, Uyeki TM, MacArthur JR, Vachiraphan A, et al. 636 Clinical Characteristics of Patients Hospitalized with Coronavirus Disease, Thailand. 637 Emerging infectious diseases. 2020;26(7).
- 638 70. Qian GQ, Chen XQ, Lv DF, Ma AHY, Wang LP, Yang NB, et al. Duration of SARS-CoV2 viral shedding during COVID-19 infection. Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 640 71. Qiu H, Wu J, Hong L, Luo Y, Song Q, Chen D. Clinical and epidemiological features of 641 36 children with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Zhejiang, China: an 642 observational cohort study. The Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 643 72. Qu Y-M, Cong H-Y. Positive result of Sars-Cov-2 in sputum from a cured patient with COVID-19. Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease. 2020:101619.
- Saito M, Adachi E, Yamayoshi S, Koga M, Iwatsuki-Horimoto K, Kawaoka Y, et al. Gargle lavage as a safe and sensitive alternative to swab samples to diagnose COVID-19: a case report in Japan. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- Scott SE, Zabel K, Collins J, Hobbs KC, Kretschmer MJ, Lach M, et al. First Mildly Ill,
 Non-Hospitalized Case of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Without Viral
 Transmission in the United States Maricopa County, Arizona, 2020. Clinical
 Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 552 75. Segar S, Bouland D, Torriani F, Kwak K, Asudani D, Taplitz R, et al. Flight of the COVID-19 patient: experience with aWuhan evacuee- a case report. Research Square; 2020.
- 555 76. Shen C, Wang Z, Zhao F, Yang Y, Li J, Yuan J, et al. Treatment of 5 Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19 with Convalescent Plasma. JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association. 2020.
- 558 77. Shi F, Wu T, Zhu X, Ge Y, Zeng X, Chi Y, et al. Association of viral load with serum biomakers among COVID-19 cases. Virology. 2020;546:122-6.
- 560 78. Song R, Han B, Song M, Wang L, Conlon CP, Dong T, et al. Clinical and epidemiological features of COVID-19 family clusters in Beijing, China. Journal of Infection. 2020.
- 563 79. Stebbing J, Krishnan V, Bono Sd, Ottaviani S, Casalini G, Richardson P, et al.
 564 Mechanism of baricitinib supports artificial intelligence-predicted testing in COVID-19
 565 patients. Research Square; 2020.
- 80. Su L, Ma X, Yu H, Zhang Z, Bian P, Han Y, et al. The different clinical characteristics of corona virus disease cases between children and their families in China–the character of children with COVID-19. Emerging Microbes and Infections.
 2020;9(1):707-13.
- Tan L, Kang X, Ji X, Wang Q, li Y, Wang Q, et al. Validation of predictors of disease severity and outcomes in COVID-19 patients: a descriptive and retrospective study. Med. 2020.
- 673 82. Tan L, Kang X, Zhang B, Zheng S, Liu B, Yu T, et al. Plasma therapy cured a COVID _ 19 patient with long duration of viral shedding for 49 days: the clinical features, laboratory tests, plasma therapy and implications for public health management. 676 MedComm. 2020.

- 677 83. Tan LV, Ngoc NM, That BTT, Uyen LTT, Hong NTT, Dung NTP, et al. Duration of viral detection in throat and rectum of a patient with COVID-19. medRxiv. 2020:2020.03.07.20032052.
- 680 84. Tan X, Huang J, Zhao F, Zhou Y, Li JQ, Wang XY. Clinical features of children with SARS-CoV-2 infection: an analysis of 13 cases from Changsha, China. Zhongguo dang dai er ke za zhi = Chinese journal of contemporary pediatrics.
 683 2020;22(4):2948.
- 684 85. Tan YP, Tan BY, Pan J, Wu J, Zeng SZ, Wei HY. Epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of 10 children with coronavirus disease 2019 in Changsha, China. Journal of Clinical Virology. 2020;127.
- 687 86. Thevarajan I, Nguyen THO, Koutsakos M, Druce J, Caly L, van de Sandt CE, et al.
 688 Breadth of concomitant immune responses prior to patient recovery: a case report of
 689 non-severe COVID-19. Nature Medicine. 2020.
- 690 87. To KK-W, Tsang OT-Y, Leung W-S, Tam AR, Wu T-C, Lung DC, et al. Temporal 691 profiles of viral load in posterior oropharyngeal saliva samples and serum antibody 692 responses during infection by SARS-CoV-2: an observational cohort study. The 693 Lancet Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 694 88. To KK-W, Tsang OT-Y, Yip CC-Y, Chan K-H, Wu T-C, Chan JM-C, et al. Consistent Detection of 2019 Novel Coronavirus in Saliva. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 696 89. Tu Y-H, Wei Y-Y, Zhang D-W, Chen C-S, Hu X-W, Fei G. Analysis of factors affected 697 the SARS-CoV-2 viral shedding time of COVID-19 patients in Anhui, China: a 698 retrospective study. Research Square; 2020.
- Wan R, Mao ZQ, He LY, Hu YC, Wei C. Evidence from two cases of asymptomatic
 infection with SARS-CoV-2: Are 14 days of isolation sufficient? International Journal
 of Infectious Diseases. 2020;95:174-5.
- 702 91. Wang C, Huang L, Lu W, Chen G, Cai Y, Li X, et al. Clinical characteristics of
 703 pneumonia patients of long courses infected with SARS-CoV-2. Research Square;
 704 2020.
- 705
 92. Wang J-C, Song S, Yuan B, Liu H-Q, Yang Z-R, Chen Y-X, et al. Recurrence of
 706 Positive SARS-CoV-2 Viral RNA in Recovered COVID-19 Patients During Medical
 707 Isolation Observation. Research Square; 2020.
- 708 93. Wang L, Gao YH, Lou LL, Zhang GJ. The clinical dynamics of 18 cases of COVID-19 outside of Wuhan, China. The European respiratory journal. 2020;55(4).
- 710 94. Wang Y, Liu C, Meng Q, Gui S, Wu Y, Cheng P, et al. A case report of moderate 711 COVID-19 with an extremely long-term viral shedding period in China. Research 712 Square; 2020.
- 713 95. Wei W, Li Z, Chiew C, Yong S, Toh M, Lee V. Presymptomatic Transmission of SARSCoV-2 Singapore, January 23–March 16, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;ePub: 1 April 2020.
- 716 96. Wei XS, Wang X, Niu YR, Ye LL, Peng WB, Wang ZH, et al. Diarrhea is associated 717 with prolonged symptoms and viral carriage in COVID-19. Clinical gastroenterology 718 and hepatology: the official clinical practice journal of the American 719 Gastroenterological Association. 2020.
- 720 97. Wei XS, Wang XR, Zhang JC, Yang WB, Ma WL, Yang BH, et al. A cluster of health
 721 care workers with COVID-19 pneumonia caused by SARS-CoV-2. Journal of
 722 Microbiology, Immunology and Infection. 2020.
- 723 98. Woelfel R, Corman VM, Guggemos W, Seilmaier M, Zange S, Mueller MA, et al.
 724 Virological Assessment of Hospitalized Patients With COVID-2019. Nature. 2020.
- 725 99. Wu Y, Guo C, Tang L, Hong Z, Zhou J, Dong X, et al. Prolonged presence of SARS-726 CoV-2 viral RNA in faecal samples. The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology. 2020.

- 727 100. Xiao AT, Tong YX, Gao C, Zhu L, Zhang YJ, Zhang S. Dynamic profile of RT-PCR 728 findings from 301 COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China: A descriptive study. Journal 729 of Clinical Virology. 2020;127.
- 730 101. Xing Y, Mo P, Xiao Y, Zhao O, Zhang Y, Wang F. Post-discharge surveillance and 731 positive virus detection in two medical staff recovered from coronavirus disease 2019 732 (COVID-19), China, January to February 2020. Eurosurveillance. 2020;25(10).
- 733 102. Xing Y, Ni W, Wu Q, Li W, Li G, Tong J, et al. Prolonged viral shedding in feces of pediatric patients with coronavirus disease 2019. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2020.
- 735 103. Xu K, Chen Y, Yuan J, Yi P, Ding C, Wu W, et al. Factors associated with prolonged 736 viral RNA shedding in patients with COVID-19. Clinical infectious diseases: an official 737 publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2020.
- 738 104. Xu T, Chen C, Zhu Z, Cui M, Chen C, Dai H, et al. Clinical features and dynamics of 739 viral load in imported and non-imported patients with COVID-19. International 740 Journal of Infectious Diseases. 2020.
- 741 105. Xu T, Huang R, Zhu L, Wang J, Cheng J, Zhang B, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of asymptomatic patients with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Journal of medical virology. 2020.
- 744 106. Xu Y, Li X, Zhu B, Liang H, Fang C, Gong Y, et al. Characteristics of pediatric
 745 SARSCoV-2 infection and potential evidence for persistent fecal viral shedding.
 746 Nature Medicine. 2020.
- 747 107. Yan D, Liu X-y, Zhu Y-n, Huang L, Dan B-t, Zhang G-j, et al. Factors associated with 748 prolonged viral shedding and impact of Lopinavir/Ritonavir treatment in patients with 749 SARS-CoV-2 infection. European Respiratory Journal 2020.
- 750 108. Yang JR, Deng DT, Wu N, Yang B, Li HJ, Pan XB. Persistent viral RNA positivity 751 during recovery period of a patient with SARS-CoV-2 infection. Journal of medical 752 virology. 2020.
- 753 109. Yang P, Ding Y, Xu Z, Pu R, Li P, Yan J, et al. Epidemiological and clinical features of COVID-19 patients with and without pneumonia in Beijing, China. medRxiv. 2020:2020.02.28.20028068.
- 756 110. Yang R, Gui X, Xiong Y. Patients with respiratory symptoms are at greater risk of COVID-19 transmission. Respiratory Medicine. 2020;165.
- 758 111. Yongchen Z, Shen H, Wang X, Shi X, Li Y, Yan J, et al. Different longitudinal patterns 759 of nucleic acid and serology testing results based on disease severity of COVID-19 760 patients. Emerging microbes & infections. 2020:1-14.
- 761 112. Young BE, Ong SWX, Kalimuddin S, Low JG, Tan SY, Loh J, et al. Epidemiologic 762 Features and Clinical Course of Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 in Singapore. 763 JAMA. 2020.
- 764 113. Yu F, Yan L, Wang N, Yang S, Wang L, Tang Y, et al. Quantitative Detection and Viral Load Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in Infected Patients. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2020.
- 767 114. Yu X, Sun S, Shi Y, Wang H, Zhao R, Sheng J. SARS-CoV-2 viral load in sputum 768 correlates with risk of COVID-19 progression. Critical care (London, England). 769 2020;24(1):170.
- 770 115. Yuan J, Kou S, Liang Y, Zeng J, Pan Y, Liu L. Clinical Characteristics on 25
 771 Discharged Patients with COVID-19 Virus Returning. medRxiv.
 772 2020:2020.03.06.20031377.
- 773 116. Yuan Y, Wang N, Ou X. Caution should be exercised for the detection of SARS-CoV2, especially in the elderly. Journal of medical virology. 2020.

- 775 117. Zha L, Li S, Pan L, Tefsen B, Li Y, French N, et al. Corticosteroid treatment of 776 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Medical Journal of Australia. 777 2020.
- 778 118. Zhang N, Gong Y, Meng F, Bi Y, Yang P, Wang F. Virus shedding patterns in nasopharyngeal and fecal specimens of COVID-19 patients. medRxiv. 2020:2020.03.28.20043059.
- 781 119. Zhang T, Cui X, Zhao X, Wang J, Zheng G, et al. Detectable SARS-CoV-2 782 viral RNA in feces of three children during recovery period of COVID-19 pneumonia. 783 Journal of medical virology. 2020.
- 784 120. Zheng S, Fan J, Yu F, Feng B, Lou B, Zou Q, et al. Viral load dynamics and disease 785 severity in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Zhejiang province, China, 786 JanuaryMarch 2020: retrospective cohort study. BMJ (Clinical research ed). 787 2020;369:m1443.
- 788 121. Zhou B, She J, Wang Y, Ma X. The duration of viral shedding of discharged patients 789 with severe COVID-19. Clinical infectious diseases: an official publication of the 790 Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2020.
- 791 122. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for 792 mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort 793 study. The Lancet. 2020.
- 794 123. Zhu L, Gong N, Liu B, Lu X, Chen D, Chen S, et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019
 795 Pneumonia in Immunosuppressed Renal Transplant Recipients: A Summary of 10
 796 Confirmed Cases in Wuhan, China. European Urology. 2020.
- 797 124. Zou L, Ruan F, Huang M, Liang L, Huang H, Hong Z, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Viral Load in Upper Respiratory Specimens of Infected Patients. New England Journal of Medicine. 2020;382(12):1177-9.
- Tan L, Kang X, Ji X, Wang Q, li Y, Wang Q, et al. Validation of predictors of disease severity and outcomes in COVID-19 patients: a descriptive and retrospective study. 2020.
- Held L. A discussion and reanalysis of the results reported in Jones et al.(2020). https://osf.io/bkuar/: 2020.
- 27. Zhu J, Ji P, Pang J, Zhong Z, Li H, He C, et al. Clinical characteristics of 3,062 COVID-19 patients: a meta-analysis. Journal of medical virology.n/a(n/a).
- 807 128. Wahidi MM, Lamb C, Murgu S, Musani A, Shojaee S, Sachdeva A, et al. American
 808 Association for Bronchology and Interventional Pulmonology (AABIP) statement on
 809 the use of bronchoscopy and respiratory specimen collection in patients with
 810 suspected or confirmed COVID-19 infection. J Bronchology Interv Pulmonol. 2020.
- Xiao F, Sun J-Y, Xu Y, Li F, Huang X, Li H, et al. Infectious SARS-CoV-2 in Feces of Patient with Severe COVID-19. Emerging Infectious Disease journal. 2020;26(8).
- 813 130. Parasa S, Desai M, Thoguluva Chandrasekar V, Patel HK, Kennedy KF, Roesch T, et 814 al. Prevalence of Gastrointestinal Symptoms and Fecal Viral Shedding in Patients 815 With Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. JAMA 816 Network Open. 2020;3(6):e2011335-e.
- Health Information and Quality Authority. Evidence summary for asymptomatic transmission of COVID-19. https://www.hiqa.ie/reports-and-
- publications/healthtechnology-assessment/evidence-summary-asymptomatictransmission: 2020.
- 821 132. Casey M, Griffin J, McAloon CG, Byrne AW, Madden JM, McEvoy D, et al.
- Presymptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 infection: a secondary analysis using published data. medRxiv. 2020:2020.05.08.20094870.

- World Health Organization. Clinical Management of COVID-10 Interim guidance, 27 May 2020. Geneva: WHO, 2020.
- 134. Clyne B, Jordan K, Ahern S, Walsh KA, Byrne P, Carty PG, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 by children: A Rapid Review'. Undergoing peer review. 2020.
- Ludvigsson JF. Systematic review of COVID_19 in children shows milder cases and a better prognosis than adults. Acta Paediatrica. 2020;109(6):1088-95.
- Office of National Statistics. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Infection Survey pilot: England,
 14 May 2020. Office of National Statistics,, 2020.
- World Health Organization. Considerations in the investigation of cases and clusters of COVID-19. https://www.who.int/who-documents-detail/considerations-in-theinvestigation-of-cases-and-clusters-of-covid-19: 2020.
- Health Protection Surveillance Centre. Novel Coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19): National Interim Guidelines for Public Health management of contacts of cases of COVID-19. https://www.hpsc.ie/az/respiratory/coronavirus/novelcoronavirus/guidance/contacttracing.pdf: acingguidance/Nationa | 1%20Interim%20Guidance%20for%20contact%20tracing.pdf: HPSC, 2020.
- B40 139. Drosten C, Chiu L-L, Panning M, Leong HN, Preiser W, Tam JS, et al. Evaluation of
 B41 Advanced Reverse Transcription-PCR Assays and an Alternative PCR Target Region
 B42 for Detection of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome-Associated Coronavirus. Journal
 B43 of Clinical Microbiology. 2004;42(5):2043-7.
- Peiris JSM, Chu CM, Cheng VCC, Chan KS, Hung IFN, Poon LLM, et al. Clinical progression and viral load in a community outbreak of coronavirus-associated SARS pneumonia: a prospective study. The Lancet. 2003;361(9371):1767-72.

848 141. Byrne A, McEvoy D, Collins AB, Hunt K, Casey M, Barber A, et al. Inferred duration of infectious period of 1 SARS-CoV-2: rapid review and analysis of available evidence 850 for asymptomatic and symptomatic COVID-19 cases. medRxiv. 851 2020;10.1101/2020.04.25.20079889.

1 Table 1: Population, Outcomes and Study types (POS) framework for study

2 inclusion

| Patients (of any age) infected with COVID-19 with information on either viral load or detection during infection (including in the pre-symptomatic phase) and/or duration of infectivity. Subgroups of interest adults vs children Primary outcomes: Ribonucleic Acid (viral load or detection) during infection (the test used [including cut-off if reported], sample site [e.g. upper/lower respiratory, faecal, urine], test timing [number of days symptomatic pre-testing (if relevant)], clinical characteristics of the population (age, comorbidity) and clinical syndrome associated with COVID-19 (asymptomatic, mild illness, pneumonia, severe pneumonia, ARDS, sepsis, septic shock) Duration of virus detection (define start as: first confirmed positive test (or symptom onset); use WHO criteria (where reported) for end of detection, that is, two consecutive negative PCR tests 24 hours apart). Period of infectiousness/infectivity (defined as the time interval during which SARS-CoV-2 may be transferred directly or indirectly from an infected person to another person). Types of Studies Include: any study that reports on the viral load or duration of viral detection or infectivity of COVID-19. Exclude: studies where COVID-19 was not confirmed with a laboratory test. | | |
|--|------------|--|
| Primary outcomes: Ribonucleic Acid (viral load or detection) during infection (the test used [including cut-off if reported], sample site [e.g. upper/lower respiratory, faecal, urine], test timing [number of days symptomatic pre-testing (if relevant)], clinical characteristics of the population (age, comorbidity) and clinical syndrome associated with COVID-19 (asymptomatic, mild illness, pneumonia, severe pneumonia, ARDS, sepsis, septic shock) Duration of virus detection (define start as: first confirmed positive test (or symptom onset); use WHO criteria (where reported) for end of detection, that is, two consecutive negative PCR tests 24 hours apart). Period of infectiousness/infectivity (defined as the time interval during which SARS-CoV-2 may be transferred directly or indirectly from an infected person to another person). Types of Studies Include: any study that reports on the viral load or duration of viral detection or infectivity of COVID-19. Exclude: studies where COVID-19 was not confirmed with a laboratory test. | Population | viral load or detection during infection (including in the pre-symptomatic phase) and/or duration of infectivity. |
| Ribonucleic Acid (viral load or detection) during infection (the test used [including cut-off if reported], sample site [e.g. upper/lower respiratory, faecal, urine], test timing [number of days symptomatic pre-testing (if relevant)], clinical characteristics of the population (age, comorbidity) and clinical syndrome associated with COVID-19 (asymptomatic, mild illness, pneumonia, severe pneumonia, ARDS, sepsis, septic shock) Duration of virus detection (define start as: first confirmed positive test (or symptom onset); use WHO criteria (where reported) for end of detection, that is, two consecutive negative PCR tests 24 hours apart). Period of infectiousness/infectivity (defined as the time interval during which SARS-CoV-2 may be transferred directly or indirectly from an infected person to another person). Types of Studies Include: any study that reports on the viral load or duration of viral detection or infectivity of COVID-19. Exclude: studies where COVID-19 was not confirmed with a laboratory test. | _ | |
| any study that reports on the viral load or duration of viral detection or infectivity of COVID-19. Exclude: studies where COVID-19 was not confirmed with a laboratory test. | Outcomes | Ribonucleic Acid (viral load or detection) during infection (the test used [including cut-off if reported], sample site [e.g. upper/lower respiratory, faecal, urine], test timing [number of days symptomatic pre-testing (if relevant)], clinical characteristics of the population (age, comorbidity) and clinical syndrome associated with COVID-19 (asymptomatic, mild illness, pneumonia, severe pneumonia, ARDS, sepsis, septic shock) Duration of virus detection (define start as: first confirmed positive test (or symptom onset); use WHO criteria (where reported) for end of detection, that is, two consecutive negative PCR tests 24 hours apart). Period of infectiousness/infectivity (defined as the time interval during which SARS-CoV-2 may be transferred directly or indirectly |
| or infectivity of COVID-19. Exclude: studies where COVID-19 was not confirmed with a laboratory test. | Types of | Include: |
| studies where COVID-19 was not confirmed with a laboratory test. | Studies | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
| studies where COVID-19 was not confirmed with a laboratory test. | | Exclude: |
| | | |
| | Key a ADDC | , and the second |

Key: ARDS - acute respiratory distress syndrome; COVID-19 - coronavirus disease

2019; SARS-CoV-2 - severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2; WHO - World
Health Organization

Table 2: Characteristics of Included Studies

| First Author | Country | Study design | Population | Aggregate study-level duration of virus detection since symptom onset from URT samples* | Aggregate study- level duration of virus detection since symptom onset from LRT samples* | Aggregate study-level duration of virus detection since symptom onset from stool sample* | Timing of Peak viral load in relation to symptom onset and day of testing (sample site) |
|----------------------------|---------|------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| An ⁽¹²⁾ | China | Case series | 262 adults and children | Median between 15 and 20 days. Range, 5-47 days † | - | Median between 15 and 20 days. Range, 5-47 days † | - |
| Arons ⁽¹³⁾ | US | Cross-sectional | 76 residents | - | - | - | 4 of 12 patients had VL peaking prior to symptom onset |
| Cai ⁽¹⁴⁾ | China | Case series | 10 children | Median (IQR), 12 (8-15) days. Range, 6-22 | - | Range, 10 to >30 days (and still testing positive) | - |
| <i>Cai</i> ⁽¹⁵⁾ | China | Case series | 298 adults | Median (IQR), 14 (10-20) days | - | - | - |
| Cao ⁽¹⁶⁾ | China | RCT | 199 adults | - | - | - | Day of randomisation, which took place a median of 13 (IQR 11–16) days after symptom onset, 1st test (URT) |
| Chang ⁽¹⁷⁾ | China | Case series | 16 adults and children | Median (IQR): 10.5 (6- 12) days | - | - | - |
| Chen ⁽¹⁸⁾ | China | Case report | 1 adult | 11 days | - | - | - |
| Chen ⁽¹⁹⁾ | China | Case series | 249 adults | Median (95% CI), 11 (10-12) days | - | - | - |
| Chen ⁽²⁰⁾ | China | Case series | 57 patients (unknown age) | - | - | - | Days 10-12 of symptoms – 1 st to 4 th test (URT) |
| Chen ⁽²¹⁾ | China | Case series | 42 adults | Median (IQR), 8 (5-12) days | | Median, 9 days in uncomplicated, 8 (IQR 4.5-14) days in mild, and 14 (IQR 9.5- 18) days in severe cases | - |
| Cheng ⁽²²⁾ | Taiwan | Case series | 5 adults | 15 days | 15 days | - | Days 1-8 of symptoms -1 st -3 rd day of testing (URT). Days 1-6 of symptoms - 1 st to 2 nd test (LRT) |
| Cheng ⁽²³⁾ | Taiwan | Case-ascertained study | 2,761 adults and children | - | - | - | - |
| Cheng(24) | Taiwan | Case report | 1 adult | 20 days | 16 days | 24 days | - |
| Corman ⁽²⁵⁾ | Germany | Case series | 18 adults | - | - | - | Peak VL measured, but timing not reported (URT) |

| Fan ⁽²⁶⁾ | China | Case report | 1 child | 14 days | - | Still positive at 28 days | - |
|----------------------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|---|--|---|
| Fang ⁽²⁷⁾ | China | Case series | 32 adults | Mean \pm SD, 17.3 \pm 6.6 days | - | - | - |
| Fu ⁽²⁸⁾ | China | Case series | 50 adults | - | - | Median (IQR) 31 (IQR, 27–34 days)† | - |
| Gao ⁽²⁹⁾ | China | Case series | 2 adults | - | - | - | - |
| Gautret ⁽³⁰⁾ | France | NRCT | 36 adults and children | Median (IQR), 7 days (4.5-9.5) (however limited follow-up) | - | - | Day of randomisation, which took place an average of 4 (±2.6 SD) days after symptom onset, 1st test (URT) |
| <i>Goh</i> ⁽³¹⁾ | Singapore | Case report | 1 adult | - | - | - | Day 10 of symptoms, 1 st test (ETT) |
| <i>He</i> ⁽³²⁾ | China | Epidemiological modelling study | 94 adults | Approx. 21 days (using spline analysis) | - | - | Soon after symptom onset, 1 st test (URT) |
| Hill ⁽³³⁾ | Scotland | Case report | 1 adult | 7.5 days | - | ND | Day 3 of symptoms, 1 st test (URT) |
| Holshue ⁽³⁴⁾ | US | Case report | 1 adult | - | - | - | Day 4 of symptoms, 1 st test (URT) |
| Hu ⁽³⁵⁾ | China | Cohort study | 59 adults | - | - | - | - |
| Hu ⁽³⁶⁾ | China | Case series | 24 adults and children | Median (IQR): 20.5 (16-26.25) days (from day of suspected exposure) | - | - | - |
| Huang ⁽³⁷⁾ | China | Case series | 33 adults and children | Median (IQR), 18.5 (13.25-22) days <i>(from day of diagnosis)</i> | Median (IQR), 22 (18.5-27.5) days (from day of diagnosis) | Median (IQR), 17 (11.5- 32) days <i>(from day of diagnosis)</i> | 1 st test (URT), 1 st test (LRT), erratic peaking pattern (stool) |
| Jahan ⁽³⁸⁾ | Bangladesh | Case report | 1 adult | 10 days | - | - | - |
| Jiang ⁽³⁹⁾ | China | Case report | 1 child | - | - | 41 days (until first negative test) | - |
| Jing ⁽⁴⁰⁾ | China | Statistical transmission model | 349 adults and children | - | - | - | - |
| Jones ⁽⁴¹⁾ | Germany | Cross-sectional | 3,712 adults and children | - | - | - | Peak VL measured, but timing not reported (URT) |
| <i>Kam</i> ⁽⁴²⁾ | Singapore | Case report | 1 child | - | - | - | Day 1 of hospitalization, 1 st test (URT); Day 8 of hospitalisation, 2 nd test (stool) |
| <i>Kim</i> ⁽⁴³⁾ | South Korea | Case series | 2 adults | 14.5 days | 11.5 days | 9 days | Day 2 of symptoms, 1 st test (URT); Day 5 of symptoms, 2 nd test (LRT); Day 10 of symptoms, 6 th test (stool) |
| Kujawski ⁽⁴⁴⁾ | US | Case series | 12 adults | 25 days (maximum) | 28 days (maximum) | 26 days (maximum) | VLs were lower in the first week of illness than the second in most patients (URT) |
| La Scola ⁽⁴⁵⁾ | France | Cross-sectional | 155 patients | 20 days (maximum) † | | - | - |

| (46) | 71.1 | | 2.042 . | M + 6D 02 12 1 | I | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Lavezzo ⁽⁴⁶⁾ | Italy | Cross-sectional | 2,812 adults and children | Mean ± SD: 9.3 ±2 days | - | - | - |
| Le ⁽⁴⁷⁾ | Vietnam | Case report | 1 child | 12 days | - | - | - |
| Le ⁽⁴⁸⁾ | Vietnam | Case series | 12 adults and children | Median (range): 8.5 (6-12) days | - | - | Peaked earlier in the disease trajectory (~ 7 days after potential exposure) (URT) |
| Lee ⁽⁴⁹⁾ | Taiwan | Case report | 1 adult | 19 days | - | - | - |
| Lescure ⁽⁵⁰⁾ | France | Case series | 5 adults | 11 days | - | - | Days 2-9 of symptoms. 1 st or 3 rd tests, (URT). 1 st or 2 nd tests (Stool) |
| L'Huillier ⁽⁵¹⁾ | Switzerland | Case series | 23 children | - | - | - | Peak VL measured, but timing not reported (URT) |
| Li ⁽⁵²⁾ | China | Case series | 36 adults | Median (IQR): 53.5 days (47.75-60.5) days | - | - | - |
| <i>Li</i> (⁵³⁾ | China | Case series | 13 adults | Mean ± SD: 25 ± 6 days | 4 patients positive between 5 – 14 days after discharge | 2 patients tested positive 14 or 15 days after sputum tested negative. | - |
| Lillie ⁽⁵⁴⁾ | UK | Case series | 2 adults | 7.5 days | - | - | - |
| <i>Lim</i> ⁽⁵⁵⁾ | South Korea | Case report | 1 adult | - | 10 days | - | Day 9 of symptoms, 1 st test (LRT) |
| <i>Ling</i> ⁽⁵⁶⁾ | China | Case series | 66 adults and children | Median (IQR) 9.5 (6.0- 11.0) days. Range 2-22 days | - | Median (IQR): 11 (9.0- 16.0) days | - |
| Liu ⁽⁵⁷⁾ | China | Case series | 10 adults | Median (IQR): 10 days (9-12) Range: 6-17 days (from first day of hospitalisation) | - | - | - |
| Liu ⁽⁵⁸⁾ | Taiwan | Case report | 1 adult | 6 days | 44 days | Only detected in 1 sample before repeatedly testing negative thereafter | Day 1 of symptoms, 1 st test (LRT) Day 2 of symptoms, 2 nd test (URT) |
| <i>Liu</i> ⁽⁵⁹⁾ | China | Case series | 76 adults | - | - | - | Highest viral load detected on 1 st test for majority of patients (URT). |
| Lo ⁽⁶⁰⁾ | China | Case series | 10 adults and children | Mean ± SD, 18.2 ± 4.6 days | - | Mean ± SD, 19.3 ± 3.4 days | - |
| Lv ⁽⁶¹⁾ | China | Case report | 1 adult | 25 days | ND | ND | Day 16 of symptoms, 4 th test (URT) |
| Ma ⁽⁶²⁾ | China | Case series | 8 adults and children | 2-3 weeks | - | Turned positive in weeks 3-5 and remained positive until end of follow up in 7 of 8 patients. | - |
| Marchand- Senécal ⁽⁶³⁾ | Canada | Case report | 1 adult | 7 days | - | - | Day 3 of symptoms, 2 nd test (URT) |

| <i>Meng</i> (64) | China | Case series | 42 adults | - | - | - | Day of peak VL not reported |
|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------|---|--|--|---|---|
| Nicastri ⁽⁶⁵⁾ | Italy | Case report | 1 adult | 7 days (from first day of hospitalisation) | - | 9 days (from first day of hospitalisation) | - |
| <i>Pan⁽⁶⁶⁾</i> | China | Case series | 2 patients of unknown age (plus samples from 80 other patients) | 9 days | 10 days | ND | Days 5-6 of symptoms, 2 nd or 3 rd test (URT) |
| Paoli ⁽⁶⁷⁾ | Italy | Case report | 1 adult | 16 days | - | - | - |
| <i>Peng</i> (68) | China | Case report | 2 adults and children | 15 days | - | ND | Day 6 of symptoms, 1 st test (URT) |
| Pongpirul ⁽⁶⁹⁾ | Thailand | Case series | 11 adults | 14 days | - | - | - |
| <i>Qian</i> ⁽⁷⁰⁾ | China | Case report | 1 adult | 42 days | - | Only detected once | - |
| Qiu ⁽⁷¹⁾ | China | Cohort study | 36 children | Mean ± SD, 10 ± 2 days, range 7-22 days (from first day of hospitalization) | - | - | - |
| Qu ⁽⁷²⁾ | China | Case report | 1 adult | 22 days | - | - | - |
| Saito ⁽⁷³⁾ | Japan | Case report | 1 adult | 15 days | - | - | - |
| Scott ⁽⁷⁴⁾ | US | Case report | 1 adult | 20 days (from day of diagnosis) | - | - | Day 1 and 6 of diagnosis, 1 st and 2 nd test (different URT samples) Day 8 of diagnosis, 2 nd test (LRT) |
| Segar ⁽⁷⁵⁾ | US | Case report | 1 adult | 1 day | Positive on days 10 and 11 of symptoms | - | - |
| Shen ⁽⁷⁶⁾ | China | Case series | 5 adults | 24.5 days | - | - | Days 2-21 days of symptoms, 1 st test for 2 patients, unknown number for others (URT) |
| <i>Shi</i> (⁷⁷⁾ | China | Cross-sectional | 114 adults and children | - | - | - | - |
| <i>Song</i> ⁽⁷⁸⁾ | China | Case series | 24 adults and children | 15 days (from day of diagnosis) | - | - | - |
| Stebbing ⁽⁷⁹⁾ | Italy | Case series | 4 adults | 15 days | - | - | Days 1-16 of symptoms, 1 st or 7 th test (URT) |
| Su⁽⁸⁰⁾ | China | Case series | 23 adults and children | 11.5 days (from day of hospitalisation for children) NR for adults | ND in children NR for adults | Turned positive for 5 discharged children NR for adults | - |
| Tan ⁽⁸¹⁾ | China | Case series | 142 adults | - | - | - | - |
| Tan ⁽⁸²⁾ | China | Case series | 2 adults | 38 days (range 24-52) | - | - | Day 27 of symptoms, 4 th test (URT) |
| <i>Tan⁽⁸³⁾</i> | Vietnam | Case report | 1 adult | 15 days | - | 22 days | Day 6 of symptoms, 1 st test (URT) Day 21 of symptoms, 12 th (stool) |

| Tan ⁽⁸⁴⁾ | China | Case series | 13 children | 13 days (from day of diagnosis) | - | Only detected for unknown duration in 1 child | - |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|--|-----------|--|--|
| <i>Tan</i> ⁽⁸⁵⁾ | China | Case series | 10 children | 14 days | - | Detected inconsistently in 3 children | - |
| Thevarajan ⁽⁸⁶⁾ | Australia | Case report | 1 adult | 6 days | - | ND | Day 4 of symptoms, 1 st test (URT) Day 6 of illness, 1 st test (LRT and stool) |
| <i>To</i> ⁽⁸⁷⁾ | Hong Kong | Cohort study | 23 adults | - | - | - | Salivary VL was highest during the first week after symptom onset. |
| <i>To</i> ⁽⁸⁸⁾ | Hong Kong | Case series | 12 adults | - | - | - | 1 st test (median of 2 days hospitalized) for all patients (except one where the VL was higher on 2 nd test) (URT) |
| <i>Tu</i> ⁽⁸⁹⁾ | China | Case series | 40 adults | - | - | - | - |
| <i>Wan</i> ⁽⁹⁰⁾ | China | Case series | 2 adults | 15 days | - | - | 1 st test for both asymptomatic patients (URT) |
| Wang ⁽⁹¹⁾ | China | Cohort study | 4 adults | 19 days | 39 days | 1 patient was still testing positive after 35 days | - |
| Wang ⁽⁹²⁾ | China | Cohort study | 182 adults and children | 21 days (only provided for one patient) | - | Patient fluctuated between positive and negative anal swab results for 4 weeks after URT tested negative | - |
| Wang ⁽⁹³⁾ | China | Case series | 18 adults and children | 19.5 days | - | - | - |
| <i>Wang</i> ⁽⁹⁴⁾ | China | Case report | 1 adult | 32 days | - | - | - |
| Wei ⁽⁹⁵⁾ | Singapore | Case series | 18 adults | - | - | - | - |
| Wei ⁽⁹⁶⁾ | China | Case series | 84 adults | Mean ± SD, 12.5 ± 4 days, (for patients with diarrhoea). 9.2 ± 3.9 days (for patients without diarrhoea) | - | Elimination from stool took longer than elimination from the nose and throat | - |
| Wei ⁽⁹⁷⁾ | China | Case series | 14 adults | 12 days (from day of diagnosis) | - | - | - |
| Woelfel ⁽⁹⁸⁾ | Germany | Case series | 9 adults | 9.5 days | 11.5 days | Persistently positive | Days 3-10 of symptoms, generally 1 st test (URT) Days 2-11 of symptoms, generally 1 st – 3 rd test (LRT) Days 3-18 of symptoms, generally 1 st – 3 rd test (stool) |

| Wu ⁽⁹⁹⁾ | China | Case series | 74 adults | Mean ± SD, 16.1 ± 6.7 days | - | Mean \pm <i>SD</i> , 27.9 \pm <i>10.7</i> days | - |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------------------|--|----------|--|--|
| Xiao ⁽¹⁰⁰⁾ | China | Case series | 301 adults | 20 days | - | - | - |
| Xing (101) | China | Case series | 2 adults | 17.5 days | - | - | - |
| Xing ⁽¹⁰²⁾ | China | Case series | 3 children | Median, 13 days (from first day of hospitalization) | - | Median, 30 days (from first day of hospitalization) | Day of admission,1 st test (URT) Day 4 of hospitalisation, 2 nd test (stool) |
| Xu ⁽¹⁰³⁾ | China | Cohort | 113 adults | - | 17 days† | - | - |
| Xu ⁽¹⁰⁴⁾ | China | Case series | 51 adults | - | - | - | Mostly highest in 1st tests |
| Xu ⁽¹⁰⁵⁾ | China | Case series | 15 adults and children | 7 days (<i>from day of diagnosis</i>) † | - | - | - |
| Xu ⁽¹⁰⁶⁾ | China | Case series | 10 children | Median (IQR), 5 (3.5- 13.0) days | - | Median (IQR), 22 (7-23) days | Day of admission, 1st test (URT) Day 18 of hospitalisation, 18th test (stool) |
| <i>Yan</i> ⁽¹⁰⁷⁾ | China | Case series | 120 adults | Median (IQR), 23 (18-23) days | - | - | - |
| <i>Yang</i> ⁽¹⁰⁸⁾ | China | Case report | 1 adult | Still testing positive after 74 days since symptom onset | - | - | Day 36 of symptoms, 5 th test (URT) |
| Yang ⁽¹⁰⁹⁾ | China | Case series | 55 adults and children | Mean (95% CI), 9.71 (8.21-11.22) days (since day of diagnosis) † | - | - | - |
| Yang (110) | China | Case series | 82 adults | Median between 13 and 17 days | - | - | - |
| Yongchen ⁽¹¹¹⁾ | China | Case series | 21 adults and children | 14 days | - | 3 of 15 anal swabs remained positive after respiratory swab samples turned negative | - |
| Young ⁽¹¹²⁾ | Singapore | Case series | 18 adults | Median, 11.5 days | - | - | Days 3-5 of symptoms, generally between 1 st and 3 rd test (URT) |
| Yu ⁽¹¹³⁾ | China | Case series | 76 adults and children | - | - | - | VL higher in 'early and progressive stages' than 'recovery stages' (LRT) |
| Yu(¹¹⁴⁾ | China | Case series | 92 adults | - | - | - | VL highest at admission for patients admitted with severe disease. VL peaked at a later stage for patients admitted with mild-moderate disease who deteriorated (LRT) |
| Yuan ⁽¹¹⁵⁾ | China | Case series | 25 adults and children | Median (IQR), 6 (4-10) days (time from initial negative result to testing positive again) | - | - | - |

| _ | |
|---|--|
| , | |
| _ | |
| | |
| | |

8

| Key: ETT - Endotracheal tube aspirate; Ct – cycle threshold; IQR – interquartile range; LRT – lower respiratory tract; ND – not detected; NRCT – non-randomized controlled trial; RCT – |
|---|
| randomized controlled trial; URT – upper respiratory tract; VL – viral load. |

Median (range), 9.5 (6-

of treatment)

16) davs

17) days

25) days

29) days†

40) days

23) days

days

17) days (after the onset

Median (IQR), 14 (11.5-

Median (IQR),10 (8 to

Median (range), 15 (14-

Median (IQR), 18.5 (13-

Median (IQR), 31 (24-

Median (IQR) 20 (16 -

Mean \pm SD, 19.4 \pm 10.7

Stool samples were

some patients

23.5) days

diagnosis)

persistently positive in

Median (IQR), 22 (15.5 -

Persistently positive

days (from day of

Median (IQR), 22 (17-31)

Days 6-9 of symptoms, 1st or 2nd

After week 2 of symptoms (LRT)

During weeks 2-3 of symptoms

Day 1 to 3 of symptoms, 1st or

Days 14-18 days of symptom onset, unclear number of tests

test (URT)

(stool)

(stool)

2nd test (URT)

6 adults

31 adults

23 adults

3 children

96 adults

41 adults

191 adults

20 adults

18 adults

Yuan(116)

Zha(117)

Zhang(118)

Zhang(119)

Zheng(120)

Zhou(121)

Zhou(122)

Zhu(123)

Zou(124)

China

China

China

China

China

China

China

China

China

Case series

Case series

Case series

Case series

Cohort study

Case series

Cohort study

Case series

Case series

^{*} Viral clearance defined as two consecutive negative results with PCR detection at an interval of 24 hours (counting the first day of negative results as the final day)

⁻ Not measured by the study authors (site not tested, viral load not measured, or only tested on a single occasion)

[†] Site of sampling not distinguishable in this study