

Prenatal and perinatal complications in the development of psychosis: canaries in the coalmine

AUTHOR(S)

Mary Cannon, Colm Healy, Mary Clarke, David Cotter

CITATION

Cannon, Mary; Healy, Colm; Clarke, Mary; Cotter, David (2022): Prenatal and perinatal complications in the development of psychosis: canaries in the coalmine. Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland. Journal contribution. https://hdl.handle.net/10779/rcsi.19013975.v1

HANDLE

10779/rcsi.19013975.v1

LICENCE

CC BY-NC-ND 4.0

This work is made available under the above open licence by RCSI and has been printed from https://repository.rcsi.com. For more information please contact repository@rcsi.com

URL

https://repository.rcsi.com/articles/journal_contribution/Prenatal_and_perinatal_complications_in_the_develop ment_of_psychosis_canaries_in_the_coalmine/19013975/1

Prenatal and perinatal complications and later psychosis - the canary in the coalmine

Mary Cannon, Colm Healy, Mary C. Clarke, David Cotter

Departments of Psychiatry (MC, CH, MCC, DC) and Psychology (MCC), Royal College of Surgeons in Ireland,

Dublin, Ireland and Trinity College Institute of Neuroscience, Dublin, Ireland (MC)

Correspondence to: marycannon@rcsi.ie

Although first mooted as early as the 1930's, the study of obstetric complications and later psychosis came

to the fore in the late 1980's when proponents of the neurodevelopmental aetiological model of

schizophrenia cited the association between obstetric adversity and later schizophrenia as an essential

building block for this theoretical approach 1,2 An intensive period of research into pregnancy and birth

complications in patients with schizophrenia culminated in several meta-analytic reviews ^{3,4} which showed

that there were no specific obstetric complications associated with psychotic disorder – rather a host of

pre- and perinatal risk factors of small effect size (typically with odds ratios of less than 2). Although work

continued to be published on the topic of obstetric complications, the field of psychosis research then

focussed on the search for genetic risk factors, before a further decade of investigation came to a similar

conclusion regarding the genetic underpinnings of psychosis – many risk alleles of very small effect.⁵

In this issue of Lancet Psychiatry, and almost 20 years on from the last meta-analytic review, Davies and colleagues present an impressive synthesis of the literature on obstetric risk factors for psychosis up to the present day.⁶ Reviewing 15,000 records and 500 full-text articles and amassing evidence from 152 studies, the authors uncover 30 significant risk factors and 5 significant protective factors for psychosis. These findings are presented in 4 descriptive groupings: parental and familial factors; pregnancy factors; labour and delivery factors and foetal growth and development factors. In general, the effect sizes for these risk factors, although statistically significant, represent less than a doubling of the odds of psychosis, indicating that they are mostly of small to moderate effect, though the authors note in their Discussion that multiple small effects can have a significant impact. However, unlike the previous meta-analytic reviews, (and somewhat controversially), Davies and colleagues included parental psychopathology as a prenatal risk factor and the results are decidedly different in the category of parental and familial factors, with odds ratios consistently over 2 and much larger than for the pregnancy, labour and delivery or foetal growth categories. Davies and colleagues find that maternal stress increases the risk of later psychosis in offspring by more than 2-fold; maternal psychopathology increases risk for later psychosis by a factor of 4 while maternal psychosis increases the risk more than 7-fold. Paternal psychopathology also increases the risk by almost 3-fold. The only "traditional" obstetric complications to have effects sizes over 2 are polyhydramnios, premature rupture of membranes and congenital malformations. As acknowledged by the authors, maternal psychopathology or, indeed, paternal psychopathology may not represent a true pre- or perinatal factor or a genetic vulnerability but also a rearing environment risk factor, a moot point which is likely to be a combination of all of the above. ⁷ Information would also be needed on the exact timing of the psychopathology to parse this effect.

Certainly, the work by Davies and colleagues shows that it is time to move beyond merely reporting individual pre- and peri-natal risk factors. Recent developments in the field of causal inference and mediation analyses allow a more nuanced approach. (See Figure) Some consideration should be given to the direct effects of pre- and perinatal complications on, for instance, infant temperament, the developing neuroendocrine system and the developing brain, and also the mediating effects of known environmental risk factors for psychosis such as adversity and adolescent cannabis use on an already-sensitized neural system.^{8,9} Recent work underscores the importance of examining the joint effect of polygenic risk score and early life complications on increasing susceptibility to schizophrenia. ¹⁰

Regarding preventive strategies, improving the number of antenatal care visits would appear to be an achievable goal using tools such as incentivized or outreach prenatal care. Children born pre-term are known to have increased risks for psychiatric illness and should be monitored into the adolescent years.

11 It is also important to consider the impact of clustering of a range of pre- and perinatal factors as they rarely occur in isolation. The findings of Davies and colleagues show that the offspring of women who have non-optimal obstetric profiles (for a wide variety of reasons) are most at risk for later psychosis.
Additionally, it is noteworthy that the meta-analysis of Davies and colleagues shows that women with mental illness, particularly psychosis, women with a partner with mental illness and women exposed to stress, are at the highest risk to have children who go to develop psychosis. Too often, the response of public health and psychiatry is to focus only on the mentally-ill parent (for instance with medications and clinic appointments), but the needs of the children of mentally-ill parents, who often need basic day-today supports, can go un-noticed. A recent Lancet commission has issued a call to place the needs of children at the centre of the Sustainable Development Goals. Ust as the canaries acted as sentinels for the miners, the clustering of early life complications and parental mental illness can act as an early warning sign of difficulties ahead and herald the opportunity to intervene to improve intergenerational outcomes.

Funding:
MC has received grant funding from a European Research Council Consolidator Award (iHEAR) 724809. MCC has received grant funding from the Irish Research Council; DC has received grant funding from the Health Research Board (Ireland)
References:
 Murray R, Lewis SW. Is schizophrenia a neurodevelopmental disorder? BMJ 1987; 295: 681-2 Weinberger DR. Implications of normal brain development for the pathogenesis of schizophrenia Arch Gen Psychiatry 1987; 44:660-669

- 3. Geddes JR, Verdoux H, Takei N, et al Schizophrenia and complications of pregnancy and labour: an individual patient data meta-analysis. Schizophr Bull 1999, 25: 413-423
- 4. Cannon M, Jones PB, Murray RM. Obstetric complications and schizophrenia: historical and metaanalytic review. Am J Psychiatry 2002 159:7:1080-92
- Ripke S, Neale BM, Corvin A et al; Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. Nature 2014, 511(7510): 421-427 doi 10/10/38/nature13595
- 6. Davies C, Segre G, Estrade A et al. Prenatal and Perinatal Risk Factors for Psychosis: a metaanalysis. Lancet Psychiatry 2020
- 7. Morgan VA, Di Prinzio P, Valuri G, Croft , McNeil T, Jablensky A. Are familial liability for schizophrenia and obstetric complications independently associated with risk of psychotic illness, after adjusting for other environmental stressors in childhood? Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2019 Nov;53(11):1105-1115.
- 8. Schlotz W, Godfrey KM, Phillips DI. Prenatal origins of temperament: fetal growth brain structure and inhibitory control in adolescence. PLOS ONE 2014, published online May 6. 9(5): e96715.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096715
- Brannigan R, Cannon M, Tanskanen A, Huttunen MO, Leacy FP, Clarke MC. Childhood temperament and its association with adult psychiatric disorders in a prospective cohort study.
 Schizophr Res 2019; https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.201911.055
- Ursini G, Punzi G, Chen Q et al. Convergence of placenta biology and genetic risk for schizophrenia.
 Nat Med 2018; 24: 792-801
- 11. Nosarti C, Reichenberg A, Murray RM et al Preterm birth and psychiatric disorders in young adult life. Arch Gen Psychiatry 2012: 69: 610-7

- 12. Ellersgaard D, Gregersen M, Ranning A et al. Quality of life and self esteem in 7 year old children with familial high risk of schizophrenia or bipolar disorder: the Danish High Risk and Resilience Study- VIA 7 a population-based cohort study. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2019: published online Sept 7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-019-01397-2
- 13. Abel KM, Hope H, Faulds A, Pierce M. Promoting resilience in children and adolescents living with parental mental illness (CAPRI): children are key to identifying solutions. British J Psychiatry 2019:215:513-515
- 14. Clark H, Coll-Seck AM, Banerjee A, et al A future for the world's children? A WHO-UNICEF-Lancet Commission. Lancet 2020: 395:605-58